

Fast Grid Frequency Support from Distributed Inverterbased Resources

Andy Hoke, PhD, PE May 3, 2018 PV Systems Symposium

NREL/PR-5D00-71461

- Hawaii has more distributed PV (as percentage of load) than any other U.S. state.
 - Oahu: ~400 MW of distributed PV on a ~1000 MW system
 - No connections to neighboring systems
 - Instantaneous penetration of non-synchronous generation can exceed 70%
 - Grid operators have no visibility or control of distributed PV
- State RPS goal: 100% renewables by 2045
- Current levels of PV result in both steady-state and dynamic voltage and frequency issues
- Communication to DERs typically non-existent or proprietary
- Near-term solution: *autonomous* inverter-based grid support
 - E.g. ride-through, volt-var, volt-watt, frequency-watt (droop)

Partners

- Hawaii Electric
- Sandia National Laboratories
- Enphase Energy
- Fronius USA

Sponsor

 U.S. Department of Energy Grid Modernization Laboratory Consortium (GMLC)

- Validate grid support settings of hardware inverters in an environment that mimics the relevant dynamics of the Oahu power system
- Include both distribution system and bulk grid dynamics
- Identify challenges and risks associated with DER grid support

Example: DER frequency support on inertial time-scale:



Island-wide real-time hybrid model for PHIL:

- Real-time Oahu frequency dynamic model simulates contingency events; tuned to match PSSE simulations performed by Sandia team
- Bulk system model drives frequency of voltage waveforms in distribution system simulation
- Hardware inverter is connected to AC supply driven by simulated PCC voltage
- Many more inverters simulated with various controls, both on distribution feeder and in bulk system model
- Approximation of feeder bus voltage changes during frequency events



Bulk system governor-only model overview

Reiders sinulation (SRA-RT)







Transmission-distribution interface



- Frequency and voltage magnitude from bulk system model converted to individual phase voltages at feeder head (46 kV level).
- Frequency is integrated to produce voltage phase angle, $\boldsymbol{\theta}$
- Phase voltage balance assumed. (Not entirely accurate)
- Feeder model includes source impedance
- Voltage magnitude at feeder source constant except during transients



Distribution feeder reduced-order EMT model



Commercially available PV inverter overfrequency response

- Shown: Mild undershoot (~second-order response)
- Other inverters tested had ~firstorder response
- All three inverters tested in this project had fast (sub-second) response times
- Quantifying exact response time is challenging as the frequency measurement instrument itself has a finite time response
- Response on frequency recovery varies between inverters
- Responses will likely become more uniform in response to IEEE 1547-2018



PV inverter model overview







Frequency-Watt Response

- Four types of inverters modeled:
 - Legacy Enphase
 - Advanced Enphase
 - $\circ~$ Legacy Fronius
 - $_{\odot}~$ Advanced Fronius
- f-W response tuned to match hardware tests
- Ride-through capability matches field inverters
- Each connected on bulk system and at 8 locations on distribution system

- Event run multiple times with varying droop slope. Each color represents one test.
- Dotted lines are modeled inverters, solid lines are hardware.
- "2nd order" hardware inverter response shown



Time (seconds)

• Same event, varying time response (speed) of 1st order inverters in PHIL model





Time (seconds)

Test result: Inverters ride through remote and local events

- Worst case PSSE voltage profile input to PHIL models (loss of AES)
- Verified that:
 - Grid simulator could replicate voltage profile
 - Inverters ride through voltage
 event
- Additional voltage transient occurs during legacy PV trip event



Conclusions

- Inverter-coupled generation can provide very fast primary reserve, which is advantageous in low-inertia power systems.
 - The response can also be slowed down for larger power systems if desired
- Several variables are critical:
 - Amount of PV responding
 - Speed of response
 - Droop curve (slope and deadband)
 - Inverter dynamic response
- Some challenges and points of caution:
 - Fast response could cause undesired interactions (SSTI, frequency oscillations, or others
 - Knowing how much DPV is online at any time is difficult (little/no communications)
 - Dynamics of individual inverters (and load) vary. Models must make many simplifications and assumptions.
 - Each power system is different. This work has focused on Oahu. Smaller islands may need faster response.
- Many distributed-scale battery inverters don't yet include upward response capability (but capability will be required as 1547-2018 is rolled out)
- To build up a base of f-W enabled inverters and avoid stability issues, it is necessary to start soon. Initially the function will have little/no impact until many MW of DPV are installed.

Impacts

- Developed first island-wide PHIL platform including bulk grid and distribution dynamics.
- Hawaii PUC approved system-wide activation of f-W following the curve recommended by the project team
 - HECO's UL 1741 SA Source Requirements Document (SRD) calls for f-W; the spec is in line with IEEE 1547-2018.
 - All distributed inverters are now required to provide f-W droop (downward response only)
- California PUC expected to approve f-W soon as well
- Newly published IEEE 1547-2018 allows for fast (sub-second) f-W response based on technical recommendations from the project team
 - Initially, the 1547 Working Group was hesitant to allow sub-second responses
 - Must be coordinated with the "regional reliability coordinator" (e.g. ISO or RTO)

Thank you

www.nrel.gov

Andy.Hoke@nrel.gov

NREL is a national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, operated by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC.



Backup slides

Simple generic real-time hybrid model for PHIL:

- This model shows a very simple power hardware-in-the-loop system capturing:
 - $_{\circ}$ Bulk-system frequency dynamics
 - Distribution system EMT dynamics
- Intended for time-scales from subcycle to several seconds
- A similar but more detailed model was developed for the Oahu power system

A. Hoke, S. Chakraborty, T. Basso, "A Power Hardwarein-the-loop Framework for Advanced Grid-interactive Inverter Testing", 2015 IEEE Innovative Smart Grid Technologies Conference (ISGT), Washington, DC





- Two hardware inverters connected to neighboring points on distribution secondary
- Primarily tested underfrequency events
- 2019 Light Spring case
- Worst-case loss-of-load contingency: 63 MW load loss due to breaker failure

Inverter Type	f-W	Power	Underfrequency Trip	Overfrequency Trip	f-W	Aggregate
	Capable?	Factor	Setting	Setting	response	rating in
					dynamic	base case
						(MW)
DGPV Type 1	No	1.00	<59.3 Hz for 105 ms*	>60.5 Hz for 105 ms*	NA	48.38
DGPV Type 2	No	1.00	<57.0 Hz for 110 ms*	>60.5 Hz for 110 ms*	NA	93.02
DGPV Type 3	Yes	-0.95	<57.0 Hz for 20.5 sec	>63.0 Hz for 20.5 sec	1 st order	325.20
DGPV Type 4	Yes	-0.95	<57.0 Hz for 20.5 sec	>63.0 Hz for 20.5 sec	2 nd order	8.00
Station/Utility	Yes	-0.95	<57.0 Hz for 20.5 sec	>63.0 Hz for 20.5 sec	1 st order	134.7
*Trip times tuned to actual inverter behavior based on test data; up to 160 ms allowed by IEEE 1547-2003.						

- Event run multiple times with varying droop slope. Each color represents one test.
- Dotted lines are modeled inverters, solid lines are hardware.
- "1st order" hardware inverter response shown



• Same event, varying proportion of 2nd order to 1st order inverters in PHIL model



- Loss of AES (190 MW); heavy summer load case
- Assumes PV operating with reserve, capable of autonomous upward response



- Linking of bulk grid and distribution grid simulations
- PHIL stability
- How to account for HIL phase delay when:
 - Frequency and DUT power are not constant
 - Non-fundamental frequency components are present
- Validation and debugging of complex model
- Balance between model fidelity vs. computation time

- A. Hoke, M. Elkhatib, A. Nelson, J. Tan, V. Gevorgian, J. Johnson, J. Neely, C. Antonio, D. Arakawa, "The Frequency-Watt Function: Simulation and Testing for the Hawaiian Electric Companies," NREL/TP-5D00-68884, July 2017.
- A. Nagarajan, et al., "Network Reduction Algorithm for Developing Distribution Feeders for Realtime Simulators," *IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting*, 2017.
- A. Nelson, et al., "Power Hardware-in-the-Loop Evaluation of PV Inverter Grid Support on Hawaiian Electric Feeders," 2017 IEEE Innovative Smart Grid Technologies Conference (ISGT), April 2017.
- Others to appear