SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 27 April 2018 Accepted: 14 August 2018 Published online: 27 August 2018

OPEN Different Behaviors of a Substrate in P450 Decarboxylase and Hydroxylase Reveal Reactivity-**Enabling Actors**

Vivek S. Bharadwaj¹, Seonah Kim¹, Michael T. Guarnieri² & Michael F. Crowley¹

Biological routes to the production of fuels from renewable feedstocks hold significant promise in our efforts towards a sustainable future. The fatty acid decarboxylase enzyme (OleT_{IF}) is a cytochrome P450 enzyme that converts long and medium chain fatty acids to terminal alkenes and shares significant similarities in terms of structure, substrate scope and mechanism with the hydroxylase cytochrome P450 (P450_{BS3}). Recent reports have demonstrated that catalytic pathways in these enzymes bifurcate when the heme is in its iron-hydroxo (compound II) state. In spite of significant similarities, the fundamental underpinnings of their different characteristic wild-type reactivities remain ambiguous. Here, we develop point charges, modified parameters and report molecular simulations of this crucial intermediate step. Water occupancies and substrate mobility at the active site are observed to be vital differentiating aspects between the two enzymes in the compound II state and corroborate recent experimental hypotheses. Apart from increased substrate mobility in the hydroxylase, which could have implications for enabling the rebound mechanism for hydroxylation, OleT IF is characterized by much stronger binding of the substrate carboxylate group to the active site arginine, implicating it as an important enabling actor for decarboxylation.

Cytochrome P450 (P450s) superfamily of enzymes have been found in all life forms and have evolved to catalyze a wide variety of important chemical transformations ranging from hydroxylations, oxidations, epoxidations, dehydrogenation, deamination, dehalogenations and decarboxylation. P450s achieve these transformations with the help of a cysteine coordinated iron porphyrin group or the heme¹. One of the recently characterized transformations involves conversion of fatty acids to terminal alkenes² which enables the enzymatic production of hydrocarbons from common biological metabolites, and thus offers a promising route for the sustainable production of fuels that are amenable with current energy infrastructure and can replace conventional petroleum derived fuels³. In 2011, Rude *et al.* demonstrated that the *Jeotgallicoccus* bacterial species produces a P450 enzyme ($OleT_{IE}$) that catalyzes the decarboxylation of saturated fatty acids (eg: myristic acid-C14, palmitic acid-C16, stearic acid-C18 and arachidic acid-C20) to terminal alkenes². In 2014, the crystal structure of $OleT_{IE}$ was experimentally determined and provided molecular level insight into the structural basis for this decarboxylase enzyme⁴. The structure revealed significant similarity in its secondary and tertiary protein structure with other P450 enzymes especially $P450_{SP\alpha}$ and $P450_{BS\beta}$ peroxygenases which hydroxylate fatty acids^{5,6}. Ole T_{IE} and the hydroxylase P450s not only share structural similarities but also operate on the same substrates, which has made understanding the basis for their specific reactivity particularly intriguing.

Since 2014, there have been a number of experimental and computational studies aimed at probing various aspects of OleT_{IE} ranging from the mechanism, substrate range and protein engineering strategies to improve enzyme efficiency. Grant et al. in 2015 observed for the first time, the presence of a ferryl-oxo radical species (compound I) in OleT_{IE} suggesting that the 1st step of the reaction mechanism involves the abstraction of a hydrogen from the substrate by the oxygen on compound I⁷. A subsequent study published in 2016 from the same group, confirmed the presence of a 2^{nd} intermediate as the ferryl-hydroxo species (compound II), which was observed to be stable over the picosecond time scale⁸.

¹Biosciences Center, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, Colorado, 80401, USA. ²National Bioenergy Center, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, Colorado, 80401, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.F.C. (email: michael.crowley@nrel.gov)



Figure 1. (Top) The reaction mechanism: The first step of H-abstraction by compound I is common to both hydroxylases and decarboxylases followed by catalytic bifurcation at the intermediate state with the substrate radical and compound II. (Bottom: Left) Canonical P450 structural fold in OleT_{JE} with α -helices colored as follows A,A'-blue; B,B'-red; C,D-orange; E,F-yellow; G,H-tan; I,J-gray; J',K-green. The β -sheets are colored in white and the loops in pink. (Bottom: Right) Structural comparison between OleT_{JE} (silver) and P450_{BS3} (white). The differences in the F-G loop are highlighted with the longer OleT_{JE} loop shown in Blue and the shorter loop in P450_{BS3} shown in red.

One of the most interesting aspects of $OleT_{JE}$ is its unique decarboxylating activity in spite of its close similarity in terms of structure and substrate with the hydroxylating enzymes P450_{SPa} and P450_{BS3}. The reaction mechanism (Fig. 1) in these enzymes has been explored with DFT and QM/MM studies and portions of the mechanism have been corroborated in more recent experimental studies^{8–10} It has been established that the first step of the mechanism involves the abstraction of a hydrogen atom from the substrate fatty acid (from the α carbon for P450_{SPa} and the β carbon for the P450_{BS3} and $OleT_{JE}$) by compound I^{7,10}. This generates the *intermediate* state of the system constituting a radical on the substrate and compound II^{8,10}. The next step of the mechanism is crucial in determining whether the resulting product is a hydroxylated fatty acid or an alkene and a CO₂ molecule. The current hypothesis involves the hydroxylase enzyme enabling the rebound mechanism wherein the substrate radical is hydroxylated when it approaches compound II, while the decarboxylase enzyme enables decarboxylation by avoiding this rebound^{8,10}. Hence, despite the similarity in their sequence and overall structures, $OleT_{JE}$ and P450_{BS3} employ unique enabling factors to catalyze their respective reactions.

There have been numerous experimental studies focused on enzyme engineering strategies to widen the substrate range and improve the efficiency of $OleT_{JE}$. For example, there has been success in enabling $OleT_{JE}$ to decarboxylate short aromatic and linear carboxylic acids and also in recent evaluation of the ability of other redox partners in activating $OleT_{JE}^{11-14}$. More recently, the role of key $OleT_{JE}$ active site residues (Phe79, His85 and Arg245) in substrate binding and catalytic activity has been investigated¹⁵, revealing the crucial catalytic role of the arginine residue. The His85 has been proposed to play a role in decarboxylation, since it is replaced by a glutamine in the hydroxylase enzyme. While the activity of both the H85Q and F79A $OleT_{JE}$ mutants were reduced, it was still observed to have similar product slates compared to the wild-type¹⁵.

The energetics for the competing decarboxylation and hydroxylation mechanisms have also been explored using QM/MM calculations providing insight into the importance of the Fe spin state and its impact on reaction barriers¹⁰. Upon the initial H-abstraction step, it was suggested that the H-bonding networks and polarity of the active site arginine-carboxylate interaction destabilize the rebound processes that enable hydroxylation¹⁰. In a more recent study, Du *et al.* employ classical molecular dynamics to study active site tunnels, binding energies and identified a key interaction aiding substrate binding for short (C12), medium and (C16) and long (C20) substrates in $OleT_{JE}$ ¹⁶. This study mainly focused on the impact of substrate chain length on $OleT_{JE}$ activity considering the enzyme in its compound I state and the substrate in its *reactant* form. Computational studies on the catalytic pathway bifurcation step of the enzyme have been hindered by the lack of parameters to adequately describe the

compound II state. The fundamental enabling actors and the mechanistic underpinnings of this catalytic bifurcation step are thus of compelling interest.

While most studies have focused solely on $OleT_{JE}$ to address the above question, we look to compare and contrast the wild-type forms of $OleT_{JE}$ and P450_{BS3} enzymes structures and their dynamics during all stages of the reaction mechanism to glean differences and understand the basis for their respective catalytic activities. The parameters for compound II, developed in this study, thereby enable molecular dynamics simulations of the crucial state wherein catalytic pathway bifurcation is hypothesized to occur. We start with a comparison of the crystal structures and highlight important differences between the two enzymes. We then simulate the dynamics of the *apo* and substrate bound enzyme in its *reactant* (compound I, substrate) and *intermediate* (compound II, substrate radical) states and monitor protein fluctuations, characterize key substrate enzyme interactions and substrate access, egress tunnels. Here, we choose to conduct substrate-bound simulations in both enzymes with myristate (C14) in order to eliminate substrate-associated differences. Myristate is chosen since it has been demonstrated to have the most decarboxylase activity in $OleT_{JE}$ and has also been known to be hydroxylated by P450_{BS3}^{17,18}. The simulations reveal contrasting substrate binding and dynamics in $OleT_{JE}$ and P450_{BS3} active sites implicating the increased binding to active site arginine, sustained active site water occupancies in the former, and increased substrate mobility in the latter as key players in establishing characteristic catalytic activities.

Methods

Structural Comparisons. The structures for P450_{BS3} PDB ID 1IZO and $OleT_{JE}$ PDB ID 4L40 were obtained from the protein data bank^{4,18}. Chain A of both structures was used for analyses as well as for conducting molecular dynamics simulations. The structures were aligned using the Matchmaker tool in Chimera molecular visualization and analysis package¹⁹. The aligned sequences and structures were analyzed for positional differences in amino acids.

Molecular Dynamics Simulations. The crystal structure of the $OleT_{IE}$ enzyme from *Jeotgalicoccus sp.* 8456 (PDB ID: 4L40) and the P450_{BS3} hydroxylase from *Bacillus subtilis* (PDB ID:1IZO) were used for setting up MD simulations, which were run using the Amber16 package²⁰. The geometric parameters for the heme including the cysteine binding residue were obtained from Shahroukh *et al.*²¹ Both $OleT_{IE}$ and P450_{BS3} were simulated at various stages of the reaction mechanism namely *apo, reactant* (substrate bound compound I) and *intermediate* (substrate in its radical form with compound II) states. The point charges for compound I and the compound II were calculated based on quantum mechanical (QM) calculations of a reduced system. The QM calculations were performed at the B3LYP²² level of theory and LANL2DZ²³ basis set for Fe and 6–31 G(d) for C, H, O, N, and S atoms with point charges derived via Restricted Electrostatic Potential methodology²² using the R.E.D server²⁴. The frecmod files and the prep files for heme are included as part of the supplemental information. The ff14SB force field²⁵ was used to describe the protein, GAFF²⁶ for the fatty acid substrate and *intermediate*, and TIP3P²⁷ for water molecules. In order to calculate the point charges on the β radical substrate, the structure was initially optimized at the HF/6–31 G level using the Gaussian package followed by the derivation of its RESP point charges using the antechamber package²⁸.

The online pK_a prediction server H++ was used to estimate the protonation states for the amino acid residues of the protein²⁹. The protein systems were solvated with a water buffer of 10 Å and then minimized for 2000 steps using the steepest gradient methodology and for 5000 steps in the conjugate gradient method. Subsequently, the system was equilibrated in the isobaric isothermal ensemble (NPT) at 300 K with a non-bonded interaction cutoff of 10 Å for 1 ns. The MD runs employed a 2 fs time step with lengths of bonds to hydrogen atoms in the system restrained using the SHAKE algorithm³⁰. Post equilibration, 150 ns trajectories were simulated for production runs and data analysis.

Analyses. Substrate access to and from the active site has been one of the most well characterized features in P450 enzyme systems³¹. The CAVER 3.0 software package was used to characterize tunnels and channels connecting the active site of the protein to its external surface in $OleT_{JE}$ and P450_{BSB} systems in their *apo* and substrate-bound states³². A total of 1500 frames from 150 ns were analyzed considering a probe radius of 1 Å and a clustering threshold of 3.5, as employed by Du *et al.* for their CAVER results on $OleT_{JE}^{-16}$. The CAVER analyses for substrate-bound states were done in the presence of the substrate.

The MMPBSA.py utility in AMBER was utilized for the calculation of overall and residue-based decomposition binding energies from MD trajectories³³. A total of 7500 frames (1 every 20 ps from the 150 ns trajectory) were used for the MMGBSA binding energy calculations. An in-house VMD script was utilized to identify residue-based substrate – enzyme contact lists, which were used for performing decomposition analysis of the MMGBSA binding energies. This has previously been successfully used to identify amino acid residues important for substrate binding^{34,35}. The trajectory analysis tool ptraj in concert with pytraj was used for RMSF, RMSD and dynamic cross-correlation analysis³⁶. The trajectory alignment protocol used the coordinates of the α -helices and β -sheets of the first frame of each trajectory as the reference. The residue-based RMSFs for the enzymes were calculated considering the C $_{\alpha}$ atoms. VMD was used for calculating volumetric occupancy maps (volmaps) and hydrogen bond analyses³⁷. Volmaps were calculated for water occupancies within 10 Å of heme from aligned trajectories on a grid with a resolution of 0.5 Å. The hydrogen bond occupancies were calculated over the 150 ns trajectory considering a 3 Å distance and 120^o angle cutoff.

Although simulations of both enzymes were conducted in the *apo*, *reactant* (compound I and substrate) and *intermediate* (compound II and substrate radical) states, the results of the *reactant* state are only discussed in the supplemental information. The *intermediate* state, which is more relevant for the interest of this study as it is the crucial catalytic bifurcation step, is discussed along with the *apo* state.



Figure 2. Apo state dynamics: (Top) Root Mean Square Fluctuations (RMSFs) enable comparisons of flexible regions in $P450_{BS\beta}$ and $OleT_{JE}$. The important structural domains of the protein are highlighted and correspond to the color-coded domains depicted in Fig. 1. (Below: Left and Right) CAVER analysis reveals dominant protein channels connecting the enzyme surface to the active site in $P450_{BS\beta}$ (Left) and $OleT_{JE}$ (Right).

.....

Results and Discussion

Sequence and structural comparisons between OleT_{JE} and P450_{BSβ}. OleT_{JE} and P450_{BSβ} share the canonical P450 structural domain and overall fold characterized by 14 α -helices and 6 β -sheets (Fig. 1 Left). The established naming convention for the secondary structure elements was adopted and the helices color coded for the interpretation of RMSF plots in subsequent sections³⁸. The crystal structures share ~41% sequence identity but the overall 3-D structural alignment (Fig. 1 Right) of the two crystal structures yields a backbone RMSD of 1.34 Å across 410 atom pairs. A closer analysis of the sequence alignment (Fig. S1) for major differences between the structurally aligned residues reveals ~14 positions with drastically different charged residues. In this study, a drastically variable residue substitution is defined as a specific position that is occupied by oppositely charged amino acids in the two proteins. The full list of amino acid residues is presented in the supplemental information (Table S1). The differences are predominantly located on the exteriors of the proteins and the P450_{BSβ} surface is characterized by more number of positively charged residues than the OleT_{IE} surface.

Another interesting structural difference is the length of the loop between the F and G helices, with P450_{BS3} having a F-G loop shorter by about 3 amino acid residues as compared to $OleT_{JE}$ (Fig. 1 right). This difference has been recently highlighted to be an important factor enabling the accommodation of the long C20 fatty acid arachidic acid³⁹. The impact of shortening this loop in $OleT_{JE}$ was evaluated to result in a loss of decarboxylation in favor of hydroxylation⁴⁰.

Apo state dynamics reveal differences in flexibility and active site channels. The simulations of the 2 enzymes in the *apo* state reveals significant differences in flexible regions of the protein. While the secondary structure domains are fairly stable, there are significant differences in the looped regions. The loops between H and I helices and residues 340 and 350 are more flexible in $OleT_{JE}$ while loops between $\beta 1$ and $\beta 2$ sheets and G and K helices are more flexible in $P450_{BS3}$. The F-G loops are flexible in both enzymes with $OleT_{JE}$ F-G loop being slightly more flexible. This could be due to its extended length as compared to $P450_{BS3}$.

Reactions catalyzed by P450s involve the turnover of different entities including activators, reactants, active site water molecules and products. This has resulted in a well characterized description in literature of channels leading from the surface of the P450s to the active site with an established convention to identify tunnels based on their positioning relative to the specific secondary structure elements (specific α -helices and β -sheets)³¹. While this study is the first to discuss the active site tunnels observed in MD simulations of P450_{BSB}, our observations of tunnels in OleT_{JE} agree with the recently published results of Du *et al.*¹⁶ A comparison reveals mostly similar active site channels between OleT_{JE} and P450_{BSB} (Fig. 2) in the apo state. The 2e and 2b channels are described as channels that enable access from the surface to the active site between the B-C loop and the B-B' loop respectively. Two different orientations are observed for the 2e loop in both enzymes (2e1 and 2e2). The 5 channel is also observed in both enzymes with access afforded between the K and K' helices. A clear difference between the two enzymes is the observation of the solvent tunnel, S, in OleT_{JE} which is absent in P450_{BS3}. However, P450_{BS3} is characterized by channel 1 that enables access between the C, H helices and close to the G-H loop.



Figure 3. Enzyme dynamics in the *intermediate* state (compound II and substrate radical): (Top) Root Mean Square Fluctuations (RMSFs) enable comparisons of flexible regions in $P450_{BS3}$ and $OleT_{JE}$. The important structural domains of the protein are highlighted and correspond to the color-coded domains depicted in Fig. 1. (Bottom: Left and Right) CAVER analysis reveals dominant protein channels connecting the enzyme surface to the active site in $P450_{BS3}$ (Left) and $OleT_{JE}$ (Right).

.....

Dynamics at the intermediate state (compound II and substrate radical) reveals differences in substrate mobility. A molecular level description of compound II and substrate (radical) dynamics has thus far been elusive and has hindered us from exploring the specific actors that enable catalytic bifurcation. Differences observed in P450_{BSB} and OleT_{JE} at this crucial catalytic bifurcation stage are likely to play a role in enabling the different characteristic reactivities. A comparison reveals key differences in both flexibility and channels leading to the active site, Fig. 3. The RMSFs reveal differences in flexibility at the loops on either side of the I helix. The I helix is the largest α -helix in both enzymes and straddles from one end of the enzyme across the active site over to the other end. This helix also accommodates the active site arginine (Arg245 in OleT_{JE} and Arg242 in P450_{BSB}) that is known to be crucial for the catalytic activity in both enzymes. The H-I loop is more flexible in OleT_{JE} while the I-K loop is more flexible in P450_{BSB}. Other loops in P450_{BSB} that are slightly more flexible include the F-G loop and the loops closer to the C-terminal.

The CAVER analysis indicates a key difference between the enzymes in this substrate bound state. Unlike $OleT_{JE}$ that is characterized by 2e2 active site channel as the sole dominant channel, $P450_{BSB}$ is characterized by tunnels not only in the B-C loop (2e1 and 2e2) but also along the substrate binding groove (2b and 2d). This is indicative of a more open and accessible substrate binding groove in $P450_{BSB}$. This trend of a more accessible substrate binding groove is also evident in the reactant state (Fig. S2), where in $OleT_{JE}$ is characterized by channels 2e2 and 2e1 while $P450_{BSB}$ enables access to the active site via channels 2a, 2c, 2e1 and 2b. In order to investigate if this more open active site binding groove has any impact on substrate dynamics, the mobility of the substrate was evaluated using Root Mean Square Deviations (RMSDs) and Fluctuations. The average and, more prominently, the standard deviations in RMSDs for the substrate are estimated to be higher in $P450_{BSB}$ (1.34 ± 0.15 Å) as compared to $OleT_{JE}$ (1.28 ± 0.09 Å). A closer look at the RMSD trend (Fig. 4 Left) throughout the 150 ns trajectory reveals subtle but consistently higher RMSDs throughout the simulation time for $P450_{BSB}$. The RMSFs of the oxygen and carbon atoms of the substrate (Fig. 4 Right) also indicate a consistently greater flexibility of the substrate across its length with the tail being significantly more flexible in $P450_{BSB}$. These differences in substrate mobility are further exaggerated in the reactant state (Fig. S3) with average RMSD values of 2.14 ± 0.30 Å for $P450_{BSB}$ and 1.84 ± 0.25 Å for $OleT_{JE}$ and the RMSF of all substrate atoms in $P450_{BSB}$ significantly higher than in the case of $OleT_{JE}$.

Recent reports have alluded to the significance of the substrate mobility at the active site as an important factor in determining the catalytic fate of the substrate in $OleT_{IE}$. Matthews *et al.* noted an absence of large scale structural changes in their H85Q and F79A $OleT_{IE}$ mutants, but observed major changes in their product slate suggesting that subtle changes in mobility of the substrates might be important factor determining catalytic activity¹⁵. Another experimental study exploring the impact of amino acid mutations to the F-G loop in $OleT_{JE}$ also suggests that coordination of the substrate and its stability at the active site might be important regulatory factors for determination of its catalytic activity⁴⁰. Our observations of the difference in substrate mobility in P450_{BSB} as compared to $OleT_{JE}$ corroborate the above hypothesis.



Figure 4. Substrate dynamics in the intermediate state: (Left) Trends for the Root Mean Square Deviations of the substrate center of mass over the 150 ns simulation trajectory. The darker lines depict the moving average for the RMSD value while the light regions indicate instantaneous values. (Right) Comparison of the flexibility of substrate oxygen and carbon atoms- C1 indicates the carboxylate Carbon and C14 the terminal carbon on the substrate tail. Values for P450_{BS3} are indicated in red and for OleT_{IE} in black.



Figure 5. Dynamic cross-correlation analysis indicates substrate correlation with the Arginine residue. The H-bonding nitrogen atoms in Arg242/245, the carboxylate atoms on the substrate and the iron-hydroxo atoms on compound II are considered. Positively correlated motions are shown in red while bluish regions indicate no correlation.

Arginine mobility correlates with substrate mobility. An analysis of the important active site residues that coordinate with the substrate reveals the active site arginine (Arg242 in P450_{BSβ} and Arg245 in $OleT_{JE}$) to be an important contributor to substrate binding with strong H-bonds with the carboxylate group on the substrate. Considering the differences in flexibility of the loops adjoining the I-helix containing this arginine residue, we evaluated if the difference in substrate mobility could be attributed to the mobility of this residue. Figures 5 and S4 illustrate a dynamic cross correlation analysis between atoms of the arginine, compound II (compound I in S4) and the substrate carboxylate atoms. Positive correlates well with the movement of the other subset of atoms⁴¹. As is evident in both P450_{BSβ} and OleT_{JE}, the movement in substrate carboxylate atoms. Correlation coefficients close to zero for the Heme atoms indicate that its movement with the substrate is not correlated.

Enzyme-substrate interactions mediated by specific active-site residue contacts can also limit substrate mobility. The list of residues within close contact of the substrate was compiled for each enzyme over the 150 ns trajectory. The most important contributors to substrate binding are listed in Fig. 6 with Arginine being the highest contributor. It is also interesting to note that the arginine in $OleT_{JE}$ binds the substrate better than in P450_{BS8}. This is further supported by hydrogen bond occupancies for the arginine residue in the two enzymes. The arginine is observed to coordinate with the two carboxylate oxygens for 48% and 35% of the simulation time in $OleT_{JE}$ as compared to 28% and 23% in P450_{BS8}. Another notable difference in the coordination of the arginine residue is the presence of a methionine residue in $OleT_{JE}$ which coordinates with the arginine backbone NH via its backbone C=O. This is observed to bind ~53% of the time as compared to the corresponding neighbor in P450_{BS8} that is observed to coordinate ~34% of the time. While the lower RMSDs for arginine in $OleT_{JE}$ (0.26 ± 0.07 Å) when compared to P450_{BS8} (0.20 ± 0.04 Å) might be responsible for limiting substrate mobility, the stronger



Figure 6. (Top) Substrate binding energy contributions from active site residues. Note that the residue numbers are indicated for $OleT_{JE}$. (Bottom: Left and Right) Hydrogen bond occupancies for active site arginine in P450_{BS3} (Center) and $OleT_{JE}$ (Right).



Figure 7. Residue-wise binding energy decomposition for P450_{BS3} (Left) and $OleT_{JE}$ (Right). The values indicate binding energy contributions and standard deviations calculated over the 150 ns trajectory in kcal/mol. Only residues contributing >0.5 kcal/mol are considered. All contributing residues are in conserved positions except for Phe287 in P450_{BS3} and Pro293 in $OleT_{JE}$.

coordination of the arginine with the substrate carboxylate atoms also suggest of an increased capacity to effect decarboxylation in $OleT_{IF}$.

A closer look at the active site residues aiding substrate binding (Fig. 7) and their contributions reveals an important difference that could explain the increased flexibility of the substrate tail in $P450_{BS3}$. The substrate tail is stabilized by Pro293 and Pro296 in $OleT_{JE}$ on either side while in $P450_{BS3}$ the substrate tail is stabilized by Pro291 and Phe292 both of which are present only on one side substrate. Furthermore, Pro296 in $OleT_{JE}$ is substituted by Gly294 in $P450_{BS3}$ which does not contribute to binding. All of these factors result in a much less favorable total MMGBSA binding energy for the myristiate in $P450_{BS3}$ (-46.6 ± 4 kcal/mol) as compared to $OleT_{IE}$ (-53.7 ± 3.1 kcal/mol).

Water occupancies suggest heme occlusion in the OleT_{JE} **active site**. Water molecules are known to play a functional role in cytochrome P450s both directly by impacting catalysis as a proton transport enabler and in more subtle ways by impacting protein structure and substrate binding^{42–46}. Fig. 8 compares water occupancies observed in our 150 ns MD trajectories of OleT_{JE} and P450_{BS3} in the *apo, reactant* (compound I and substrate) and *intermediate* (compound II and substrate radical) states. It is evident that the region surrounding the heme oxygen atom is characterized by greater water occupancy in OleT_{JE} as compared to P450_{BS3}. The difference



Figure 8. Active site water occupancies: Volumetric occupancies of water calculated within 10 Å of heme in various states-apo, compound I and compound II-are depicted as wire frame structures in $P450_{BS3}$ (red) and $OleT_{JE}$ (green). The wireframe volumes signify atleast a 75% occupancy of water calculated using 15000 frames over 150 ns trajectories in each state. The arrows point to key water occupancies that might occlude substrate rebound onto the heme oxygen.

is particularly stark in substrate bound (*reactant* and *intermediate*) states where in the region between the His85 and the Heme oxygen is occupied by at least 2 water molecules in $OleT_{JE}$ as compared to an occasional occupation of a water molecule in $P450_{BS3}$. These observations are consistent with Falpone *et al.*'s wherein they consider the presence of three water molecules at the $OleT_{JE}$ active site in their QM/MM calculations evaluating the decarboxylation mechanism¹⁰. While they did not assign a catalytic role for these water molecules at the active site, their sustained presence at the active site might suggest their role in occluding the rebound mechanism in $OleT_{IE}$.

One of the apparent active site differences between $P450_{BS3}$ and $OleT_{JE}$ has been the presence of a Glutamine in the former and a Histidine in the latter leading to initial assignment of a catalytic role for His85 as a proton donor in $OleT_{JE}^4$. The observation of decarboxylation activity on the H85Q mutant eliminated its role as the primary determinant of catalytic fate¹⁵. From our observations, it is apparent that His85 might play a more subtle role in enabling decarboxylation. In the *intermediate* state, the active site histidine seems to coordinate the waters close to compound II's hydroxo group, which plausibly form a shield to obstruct substrate access, thereby enabling the substrate to evade rebound. However, it must be noted that these water molecules are not solely coordinated by His85 and are also stabilized by the active site arginine along with other residues and hence the Q85H mutant in P450_{BS3} and H85Q mutant in OleT_{JE} don't result in absolute reversal of their characteristic wild-type reactivities.

Conclusions

Hydrocarbons have energized mankind's rapid development and fueled our technological advancement for the past century. While they shall continue to do so for the near future, their lack of sustainability is driving us towards developing more sustainable alternatives. Biological routes to producing our fuels have been a significant focus area for research towards this goal and have resulted in the nascent commercialization of technologies for the production of alternative oxygenated fuels such as ethanol and fatty acid methyl esters. However, the biological production of hydrocarbons has thus far been elusive. The P450 OleT_{JE} enzyme, that bears significant similarities with the P450 hydroxylase, presents a promising platform for the direct biological production of hydrocarbons from fatty acids. Recent enzyme engineering efforts to improve OleT_{JE} performance have mostly resulted in shifting OleT_{JE} activity from decarboxylation towards hydroxylation leading to the current hypothesis that OleT_{JE}'s decarboxylation activity is a fragile reaction that seems to predominantly occur by the evasion of oxygen rebound, which is the dominant route to hydroxylation^{14,15,40}.

In this study, we elucidate differences in the dynamics of wild-type $P450_{BSB}$ and $OleT_{JE}$ during different stages of the mechanism leading up to the bifurcation of the catalytic pathways in the crucial *intermediate* state with (compound II and the substrate radical). We develop point charges to enable molecular simulations and provide parameters for the community to perform compound II simulations. A comparison of the *apo*-states

reveals differing flexible regions in the two enzymes which might be effected by the charge variable substitutions observed in the structural comparison. Active site channels reveal mostly similar channels in both enzymes except for the rare 1 channel in P450_{BSβ} and the S (solvent) channel in $OleT_{JE}$. Simulations in the *intermediate* state highlight differences in substrate mobility and active site water occupancies between the two enzymes and provides the first evidence of their plausible role in determining the catalytic fate of the substrate. This corroborates proposed experimental hypotheses that attribute $OleT_{JE}$'s hydroxylating activity to increased mobility at the active site^{39,40}. Our simulations also demonstrate the importance of the active site arginine in not only limiting substrate mobility in $OleT_{JE}$ but might also be crucial in the increased capacity to decarboxylate the substrate. Furthermore, these insights also point towards future directions for enzyme engineering strategies to improve $OleT_{JE}$ performance will likely involve controlling substrate mobility at the active site and effect it by controlling water occupancies and substrate stabilizing enzyme interactions.

Data availability. The parameter (.frcmod and.prep) files for compound I and compound II are provided as text within the supplemental information included along with the manuscript. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

- Meunier, B., de Visser, S. P. & Shaik, S. Mechanism of Oxidation Reactions Catalyzed by Cytochrome P450 Enzymes. *Chem. Rev.* 104, 3947–3980, https://doi.org/10.1021/cr020443g (2004).
- Rude, M. A. *et al.* Terminal Olefin (1-Alkene) Biosynthesis by a Novel P450 Fatty Acid Decarboxylase from Jeotgalicoccus Species. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 1718–1727, https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02580-10 (2011).
- 3. Choi, Y. J. & Lee, S. Y. Microbial production of short-chain alkanes. *Nature* 502, 571, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12536 (2013).
- Belcher, J. et al. Structure and Biochemical Properties of the Alkene Producing Cytochrome P450 OleTJE (CYP152L1) from the Jeotgalicoccus sp. 8456 Bacterium. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 6535–6550, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.527325 (2014).
- Fujishiro, T. *et al.* Crystal Structure of H2O2-dependent Cytochrome P450SPα with Its Bound Fatty Acid Substrate Insight into the Regioselective Hydroxylation of Fatty Acids at the α Position. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286, 29941–29950, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M111.245225 (2011).
- Matsunaga, I., Ueda, A., Fujiwara, N., Sumimoto, T. & Ichihara, K. Characterization of the *ybdT* gene product of *Bacillus subtilis*: Novel fatty acid β-hydroxylating cytochrome P450. *Lipids* 34, 841–846, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11745-999-0431-3 (1999).
- Grant, J. L., Hsieh, C. H. & Makris, T. M. Decarboxylation of Fatty Acids to Terminal Alkenes by Cytochrome P450 Compound I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 4940–4943, https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.5b01965 (2015).
- Grant, J. L., Mitchell, M. E. & Makris, T. M. Catalytic strategy for carbon-carbon bond scission by the cytochrome P450 OleT. PNAS 113, 10049–10054, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1606294113 (2016).
- 9. Ji, L. *et al.* Drug Metabolism by Cytochrome P450 Enzymes: What Distinguishes the Pathways Leading to Substrate Hydroxylation Over Desaturation? *Chem. Eur. J.* **21**, 9083–9092, https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201500329 (2015).
- Faponle, A. S., Quesne, M. G. & de Visser, S. P. Origin of the Regioselective Fatty-Acid Hydroxylation versus Decarboxylation by a Cytochrome P450 Peroxygenase: What Drives the Reaction to Biofuel Production? *Chem. Eur. J.* 22, 5478–5483, https://doi. org/10.1002/chem.201600739 (2016).
- Wang, J.-b, Lonsdale, R. & Reetz, T. M. Exploring substrate scope and stereoselectivity of P450 peroxygenase OleT JE in olefinforming oxidative decarboxylation. *Chemical Communications* 52, 8131–8133, https://doi.org/10.1039/C6CC04345C (2016).
- Fang, B. et al. Mutagenesis and redox partners analysis of the P450 fatty acid decarboxylase OleTJE. Scientific Reports 7, https://doi. org/10.1038/srep44258 (2017).
- Dennig, A. et al. Oxidative Decarboxylation of Short-Chain Fatty Acids to 1-Alkenes. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 54, 8819–8822, https:// doi.org/10.1002/anie.201502925 (2015).
- Hsieh, C. H. et al. The Enigmatic P450 Decarboxylase OleT Is Capable of, but Evolved To Frustrate, Oxygen Rebound Chemistry. Biochemistry 56, 3347–3357, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.7b00338 (2017).
- Matthews, S. et al. Catalytic Determinants of Alkene Production by the Cytochrome P450 Peroxygenase OleTJE. J. Biol. Chem. 292, 5128–5143, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.762336 (2017).
- Du, J., Liu, L., Guo, L. Z., Yao, X. J. & Yang, J. M. Molecular basis of P450 OleTJE: an investigation of substrate binding mechanism and major pathways. J Comput Aided Mol Des 31, 483–495, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10822-017-0013-x (2017).
- Liu, Y. et al. Hydrogen peroxide-independent production of α-alkenes by OleTJE P450 fatty acid decarboxylase. Biotechnology for Biofuels 7, 28, https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-7-28 (2014).
- Lee, D.-S. *et al.* Substrate Recognition and Molecular Mechanism of Fatty Acid Hydroxylation by Cytochrome P450 from Bacillus subtilis Crystallographic, Spectroscopic, and Mutational Studies. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 9761–9767, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M211575200 (2003).
- Pettersen, E. F. et al. UCSF Chimera-a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J Comput Chem 25, 1605–1612, https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20084 (2004).
- 20. Case, D. A. et al. Amber 16 & Amber Tools17. AMBER 2017, University of California, San Francisco (2017).
- Shahrokh, K., Orendt, A., Yost, G. S. & Cheatham, T. E. Quantum mechanically derived AMBER-compatible heme parameters for various states of the cytochrome P450 catalytic cycle. J Comput Chem 33, 119–133, https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21922 (2012).
- 22. Becke, A. D. Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **98**, 5648–5652 (1993).
- Dunning, T. H. & Hay, P. J. In Methods of Electronic Structure Theory Modern Theoretical Chemistry 1–27 (Springer, Boston, MA, 1977).
- Dupradeau, F.-Y. et al. R.E.DD.B.: A database for RESP and ESP atomic charges, and force field libraries. Nucleic Acids Res 36, D360–D367, https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm887 (2008).
- Maier, J. A. et al. ff14SB: Improving the Accuracy of Protein Side Chain and Backbone Parameters from ff99SB. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 11, 3696–3713, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.5b00255 (2015).
- Wang, J. M., Wolf, R. M., Caldwell, J. W., Kollman, P. A. & Case, D. A. Development and testing of a general amber force field. *Journal of Computational Chemistry* 25, 1157–1174, https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20035 (2004).
- Jorgensen, W. L., Chandrasekhar, J., Madura, J. D., Impey, R. W. & Klein, M. L. Comparison of simple potential functions for simulating liquid water. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 79, 926–935, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.445869 (1983).
- Wang, J., Wang, W., Kollman, P. A. & Case, D. A. Automatic atom type and bond type perception in molecular mechanical calculations. *Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling* 25, 247–260, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmgm.2005.12.005 (2006).
- Anandakrishnan, R., Aguilar, B. & Onufriev, A. V. H+ +3.0: automating pK prediction and the preparation of biomolecular structures for atomistic molecular modeling and simulations. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40, W537–W541, https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/ gks375 (2012).

- Ryckaert, J.-P., Ciccotti, G. & Berendsen, H. J. C. Numerical integration of the cartesian equations of motion of a system with constraints: molecular dynamics of n-alkanes. *Journal of Computational Physics* 23, 327–341, https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9991(77)90098-5 (1977).
- Cojocaru, V., Winn, P. J. & Wade, R. C. The ins and outs of cytochrome P450s. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) General Subjects* 1770, 390–401, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2006.07.005 (2007).
- Chovancova, E. et al. CAVER 3.0: A Tool for the Analysis of Transport Pathways in Dynamic Protein Structures. PLOS Computational Biology 8, e1002708, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002708 (2012).
- Miller, B. R. et al. MMPBSA.py: An Efficient Program for End-State Free Energy Calculations. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 8, 3314–3321, https://doi.org/10.1021/ct300418h (2012).
- Bharadwaj, V. S., Dean, A. M. & Maupin, C. M. Insights into the Glycyl Radical Enzyme Active Site of Benzylsuccinate Synthase: A Computational Study. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135, 12279–12288, https://doi.org/10.1021/ja404842r (2013).
- Schutt, T. C., Bharadwaj, V. S., Granum, D. M. & Mark Maupin, C. The impact of active site protonation on substrate ring conformation in Melanocarpus albomyces cellobiohydrolase Cel7B. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* 17, 16947–16958, https:// doi.org/10.1039/C5CP01801C (2015).
- Roe, D. R. & Cheatham, T. E. PTRAJ and CPPTRAJ: Software for Processing and Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Trajectory Data. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 9, 3084–3095, https://doi.org/10.1021/ct400341p (2013).
- Humphrey, W., Dalke, A. & Schulten, K. VMD: Visual molecular dynamics. *Journal of Molecular Graphics* 14, 33–38, https://doi. org/10.1016/0263-7855(96)00018-5 (1996).
- Hasemann, C. A., Kurumbail, R. G., Boddupalli, S. S., Peterson, J. A. & Deisenhofer, J. Structure and function of cytochromes P450:a comparative analysis of three crystal structures. *Structure* 3, 41–62, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-2126(01)00134-4 (1995).
- Munro, A. W., McLean, K. J., Grant, J. L. & Makris, T. M. Structure and function of the cytochrome P450 peroxygenase enzymes. Biochemical Society Transactions 46, 183–196, https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20170218 (2018).
- Amaya, J. A., Rutland, C. D., Leschinsky, N. & Makris, T. M. A Distal Loop Controls Product Release and Chemo- and Regioselectivity in Cytochrome P450 Decarboxylases. *Biochemistry* 57, 344–353, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.7b01065 (2018).
- Hünenberger, P. H., Mark, A. E. & van Gunsteren, W. F. Fluctuation and cross-correlation analysis of protein motions observed in nanosecond molecular dynamics simulations. J. Mol. Biol. 252, 492–503, https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.1995.0514 (1995).
- Rydberg, P., Rod, T. H., Olsen, L. & Ryde, U. Dynamics of Water Molecules in the Active-Site Cavity of Human Cytochromes P450. J. Phys. Chem. B 111, 5445–5457, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp070390c (2007).
- Fishelovitch, D., Shaik, S., Wolfson, H. J. & Nussinov, R. How Does the Reductase Help To Regulate the Catalytic Cycle of Cytochrome P450 3A4 Using the Conserved Water Channel? J. Phys. Chem. B 114, 5964–5970, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp101894k (2010).
- Oprea, T. I., Hummer, G. & García, A. E. Identification of a functional water channel in cytochrome P450 enzymes. PNAS 94, 2133–2138, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.6.2133 (1997).
- Madrona, Y., Hollingsworth, S. A., Khan, B. & Poulos, T. L. P450cin Active Site Water: Implications for Substrate Binding and Solvent Accessibility. *Biochemistry* 52, 5039–5050, https://doi.org/10.1021/bi4006946 (2013).
- Sen, K. & Thiel, W. Role of Two Alternate Water Networks in Compound I Formation in P450eryF. J. Phys. Chem. B 118, 2810–2820, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp411272h (2014).

Acknowledgements

This work was authored in part by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, the manager and operator of the National Renewable Energy Laboratory for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by the U.S. Department of Energy Bioenergy Technologies Office of under award number No. DE-AC36-08GO28308 and by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory's (NREL) Laboratory Directed Research and Development program. We are also grateful for the access and use of NREL's Computational Sciences' resources (Peregrine) supported by the DOE Office of EERE under contract number DE-AC36-08GO28308. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

Author Contributions

V.S.B. conceived of the presented idea. S.K. performed QM & RESP calculations for point charge calculations. V.S.B. set-up, performed and analyzed the MD simulations, wrote the manuscript and prepared the figures. M.F.C. verified the methods and analysis. All authors including M.T.G. provided critical feedback and helped shape the research, analysis and manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-31237-4.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2018