





Approaches to Metallization for Poly-Si/SiO_x Passivated Contacts

M. Schnabel, W. Nemeth, S. Theingi, A. Kale, T.R. Klein, B.G. Lee, M.F.A.M van Hest, S. Agarwal, D.L. Young, and P. Stradins

7th Workshop on Metallization & Interconnection for Crystalline Silicon Solar Cells October 24^{th,} 2017, Konstanz, Germany

NREL/PR-5900-70266

Why Poly-Si/SiO_x?

Poly-Si/SiO_x passivated contacts on Cz Si are focus of Si PV research at NREL since 2013 [1,2].



- 1 Lee *et al.,* 40th IEEE PVSC (2014)
- 2 Nemeth et al., 40th IEEE PVSC (2014)
- 3 Richter et al., Solmat, Vol. 173, pp. 96-105, 2017.
- 4 Haase, et al., JJAP, vol. 56, p. 08MB15, 2017.

Why Poly-Si/SiO_x?

Poly-Si/SiO_x passivated contacts on Cz Si are focus of Si PV research at NREL since 2013 [1,2].



Poly-Si/SiO_x at NREL

- 1. RCA-cleaned Cz-Si
- 2. 1.5nm thermal SiO_x
- 3. 20-50nm PECVD a-Si:H
- 4. Crystallizaeon, 850°C 30min
- 5. 15nm ALD AlO_x
- 6. FGA, 400°C 1h



Poly-Si/SiO_x at NREL



Before Ager e-beam Ti/Ag/Pd



n-type 50ms

Outline

- Metal
- Spacer Layers
 - \circ a-Si:H
 - **TCO**
 - Conduceng Adhesive
- Summary

Metals

- Used in-situ doped LPCVD poly-Si
- Keep AlO_x on unmetallized side
- Inieal iV_{oc}:
 - o 735-740 mV (n-type)
 - 704-713 mV (p-type)
- Metals
 - $_{\odot}~$ 4nm Ti / 1 μ m Ag, thermal
 - \circ 4nm Ti / 1µm Ag, e-beam
 - \circ 1µm Al, e-beam
 - 1μm Al:1wt%Si, e-beam
- All metals 1µm thick, at 5A/s
- FGA: 200-400°C



Metals – ΔiV evaluation

 Quantify metallization damage from PL via implied voltage loss, ΔiV, derived from PL intensity before and ager metal:

$$\bigotimes i V = \frac{kT}{q} \ln(\frac{I_{after}}{I_{before}})$$

• Measure on mirror to minimize effects of changing opecs





Metals

-5 -10

-20

-25

-30

-40

۵V (mV)

p_c (mΩcm ³)

20

n-type



ΔiV

 ρ_{c}

- Thermal evaporation less damaging
- E-beam damage of some metals anneals out
- Other metals kill contact before e-beam damage anneals out fully
- E-beam damage less severe for p-type (in ΔiV terms), but more prone to degradation upon FGA.

Spacers

- Metallization damage could be mitigated by spacer between poly-Si and metal.
- Can also improve light trapping
- Less sensitivity to reflectance of metal



<u>Calculated</u> maximum J_{SC} for 150µm Si wafer cell assuming perfect textured front ARC

Back structure	Ag metal	Almetal
Flat rear, direct metal	41.58 mA/cm ²	41.15 mA/cm ²
Textured rear, direct metal	42.07	41.31
Textured rear, dielectric spacer (150 nm SiO ₂)	42.61	42.50

Ben Lee, 2015

Spacers – a-Si:H

- Thin a-Si:H spacer reduces damage
- Attributed to covering of pinholes in poly-Si
- Negligible additional series resistance



n-type



p-type

Nemeth et al., 41st IEEE PVSC, Nemeth et al., MRS Spring Meeeng 2015

Spacers – thermal ITO

- Evaporation of In-Sn alloy in O_2 ambient.
- Deposition of ITO without sputter damage (ΔiV<5mV)
- Expected to shield metallization damage
- Contact resistivities somewhat high
 - $\circ~23~m\Omega cm^2$ to n-poly-Si
 - $_{\odot}~$ 37 $m\Omega cm^{2}$ to p-poly-Si





Before ITO Ager ITO



- EVA with metal-coated microspheres [1,2]
- Bond metal to Si Si doesn't see metal preparation
- A single sphere bridges conduceve adhesive (CA)
 - anisotropic conductivity
 - can conform to rough surface
- 10 area% microspheres yields <0.4 Ωcm² between Ag surfaces





[1] T.R. Klein *et al.*, 44th IEEE PVSC, [2] M. Schnabel *et al.*, 44th IEEE PVSC

Spacers – Conductive Adhesive

- PL imaging before and ager metallization: Ti/Ag/Pd stack, e-beam
- Metal transfer via NaCl and glass both maintain *iV_{oc}* (<5 mV change)
- Same e-beam process directly on passivated contact \rightarrow 120 mV drop

Ref.

50nm PECVD Poly-Si 1.5nm thermal SiO, 1.5nm thermal SiO, 50nm PECVD Poly-Si



Spacers - Conductive Adhesive

Ohmic IV curves

- *ρ_c*=4-6 Ωcm²
- $\rho_c \leq 1 \Omega \text{cm}^2$ feasible with more microspheres
- $R_{c, sphere} = 3000-8000 \Omega$ (~0.1 Ω within sphere shell)
- *ρ_c*≤0.1 Ωcm² requires improvement of microsphere/poly-Si contact
 - $_{\odot}~$ Increase effeceve contact area







Doning on

- Pattern all metal for metallizing back-contact solar cells, and for interconnecting them, onto a backsheet
- Use CA to attach non-metallized cells. No shunts if area% low
- Creates opportunity for cheaper, and more efficient modules



rear side of back contact					
cell		-			
Metal on					
rear side of					
1 such cell					

Metal + Series Connection for 8 cells

- Pattern all metal for metallizing back-contact solar cells, and for interconnecting them, onto a backsheet
- Use CA to attach non-metallized cells. No shunts if area% low
- Creates opportunity for cheaper, and more efficient modules



Doping on rear side of back contact cell

Metal + Series Connection for 8 cells.

	_	
	_	
	-	

Metal on rear side of 1 such cell



Conclusion

- Thermal evaporation preferable to e-beam
- Some e-beam metals can work
 - Key factor is whether damage is annealed out before metal kills the contact
 - p-type fails sooner upon FGA
- Poly-Si can be shielded from direct metal contact with spacers
- Spacers can provide additional benefits
 - Improved optics
 - Streamlined module processing

Thank you for your attention

Funding for this work was provided by the United States Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, contract Solar Energy Technologies Program DE-EE00030301 (SuNLaMP) and under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308.



www.nrel.gov



NREL is a national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, operated by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC.