

Comparison of Mesoscale Model Setups for Offshore Wind Resource Assessment: A New Jersey Case Study

Andrew Kumler¹, Mike Optis¹, Patrick Moriarty¹, Joseph Brodie², Travis Miles², George Scott¹, Caroline Draxl¹, Walt Musial¹

¹National Renewable Energy Laboratory
²Rutgers University Center for Ocean Observing Leadership

January 8, 2019 AMS Annual Meeting Phoenix, Arizona

NREL/PR-5000-73156

Evolution of Wind Resource Modeling

Typical Annual Average Wind Speed Map





Typical Timeseries of Wind Speed



- Site assessment
- Strategic planning

- Site characterization
- Basic energy modeling

Grid integration

- Economic modeling
- Capacity expansion
- Wind plant modeling

Mesoscale Model Uncertainty?

- Wind Integration National Dataset (WIND) Toolkit is a modern data set allowing for timeseriesbased analyses:
 - Weather Research and Forecasting Model (WRF)
 - Two-kilometer (km) spatial resolution, 5minute time resolution, 2007–2013 simulation period
 - 50 terabytes easily available to public, 500 terabytes by request
 - Applications in grid integration, capacity expansion, and resource assessment
- Wind Toolkit is one particular setup of WRF (input data, schemes, etc.)
- Small differences (e.g., 0.5 meters per second) can affect downstream decision-making (e.g. cost modeling)
- Ensemble modeling can quantify that uncertainty/risk
 - Well established in weather forecasting.

National Renewable Energy Laboratory Wind Prospector



High Stakes for Offshore Development



Wind Energy Lease Areas in Massachusetts

Source: Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (2018): Massachusetts Activities (https://www.boem.gov/Massachusetts/)

Recent lease auctions show a promising but risky future

How confident are we in the:

- Annual energy production?
- Infrastructure needed to get power to the grid?
- Ability to integrate with the grid?

Ensemble mesoscale modeling can begin to provide those answers

National Renewable Energy Laboratory and Rutgers Collaboration

- National Renewable Energy Laboratory partnered with Rutgers Center for Ocean Observing Leadership (RU-COOL)
- RU-COOL running their own WRF version with custom 'coldestpixel' sea surface temperature (SST) data
- Design a set of ensembles to quantify uncertainty on various timescales, gauging sensitivity to:
 - Model setup, or 'namelist' (i.e., planetary boundary layer (PBL) schemes, vertical resolution, etc.)
 - Reanalysis input
 - WRF Version
 - SST input.

Overview of Simulations

- Domains of 9 km and 3 km with two-way nesting
- June 2015–2016 simulation period
- Network of National Data Buoy Center and Rutgers observations (validation not considered in this analysis).

SST Data	WRF Namelists	Reanalysis Input	WRF Version
Rutgers Coldest- Pixel SST	Rutgers (MYNN 2.5 PBL)	GFS 0.25 degree	3.9
NCEP 1/12 degree SST	Wind Toolkit (YSU PBL)	ERA-interim	4.0
Reanalysis default			

MYNN=Mellor-Yamada-Nakanishi-Niino, GFS=Global Forecast System, NCEP=National Centers for Environmental Prediction, YSU=Yonsei University, ERA=European Centre for Medium Range Forecasts Reanalysis)

WRF 3km domain and validation stations



Buoy 44025 – Active Lease Area



Source: Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (2018): New Jersey Activities (https://www.boem.gov/New-Jersey/)

First Look...

Ensemble 100-meter Wind Speeds at Buoy 44025 over Sample 5-Day Period



The New Wind Resource Map?



Mean 100-meter Annual Average Wind Speed

75°W 74°W 73°W 72°W % 5.0 41°N 41°N - 4.5 - 4.0 - 3.5 40°N - 40°N - 3.0 - 2.5 39°N - 39°N - 2.0 - 1.5 - 1.0 ---- 38°N 38°N 0.5 75°W 74°W 73°W 72°W

100-meter Annual Average Wind Speed Uncertainty

Uncertainty by Timescale and Height



Uncertainty by Ensemble Category

12 namelist wrf_version reanalysis 10 sst Uncertainty (%) 8 6 4 2 0 10-min monthly hourly daily annual Timescale

Modeled 100-meter Wind Speed Uncertainty at Buoy 44025

Preliminary Conclusions

- Mesoscale model uncertainty is significant, especially at subdaily timescales
- SST data source is important source of variability at subdaily timescales
- WRF version (surprisingly) important:
 - Wind Forecast Improvement Project 2 (WFIP2) MYNN improvements
- Namelist and reanalysis also important (less surprising).

Ensembles as Future of Resource Assessment?

- Uncertainty in model setup choice needs to be quantified
- Important downstream implications on annual energy production, cost modeling, grid integration, and so on.
- Increasing computational resources enable ensemble modeling
- Challenges in storing data:
 - Is it feasible to run ensembles for a national data set?

Next Steps...

- More expansive and informed ensemble members
- Validation against offshore observations (a challenge!):
 - Which model setups tend to perform best and why?
- Extension beyond New Jersey test case
- Strong need for offshore observations (e.g., floating lidars).

Thank You

www.nrel.gov

This work was authored by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided in part by the U.S. Department of Energy. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.





Transforming ENERGY