High-Frequency Signature-Based Fault Detection for Future MV Distribution Grids

Yaswanth Nag Velaga¹, Kumaraguru Prabakar¹, Akanksha Singh¹, and Pankaj K. Sen²

1National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), Golden, Colorado

2Colorado School of Mines, Golden, Colorado

2020 Industrial and Commercial Power Systems Technical Conference

June 29 – July 28, 2020

DER Impact on Protection and Challenges

US Generation SourcesHigh Pen PV/DER **Resiliency** • Low fault current wind 7.3% renewables 17% • High-impact, lowhydro 6.6% Reverse power flow petroleum 1% solar 1.8% frequency events 1.4% biomass • Bottleneck for high nuclear 20% 0.4% geothermal Damage prevention penetration ρ Traveling-wave based covery \cdot Intermittent $\frac{1}{2}$ ity coal 23% DER resourd protection is applied to the future Phasor based distribution grid One full cycle • Future distribution observation window natural gas 38% network needs high (slow) speed fault detection • May need adaptive or and isolation multiple settings

Source: US, Energy Information Administration, Electricity in the United States

Traveling Waves in Power Systems

- Any disturbances in the circuit caused by fault, switching, lightning creates a traveling-wave (TW) transient.
- Waves travel at close to the speed of light (186,282 mi/s).
- Used in insulation failure and surge protection design.

Traveling-Waves in Transmission & Distribution

- \triangleright Transients generated by a fault lasts typically 3 ms $(< 1/4th$ cycle) in transmission.
- \triangleright In distribution, short lines and frequent taps produces multiple reflections from terminals
- \triangleright Switching events in distribution produce similar traveling waves.
- \triangleright Different methods are required to analyze the traveling wave in distribution.

High-Frequency Signature-Based Fault Detection for Future MV Distribution Grids IEEE/I&CPS May 2020

Traveling-Waves in Distribution

Advantages Challenges

- Independent of fault currents
- Not affected by CT saturation, power swings, line compensation
- Application to single and two phases
- Faster fault detection

- Frequent taps
- High attenuation
- \triangleright Presence of transformers,

capacitors

Requirements for CT and PT are

high

uency Signature-Based Fault Detection for Future MV Distribution Grids International Studies International Studies IEEE/I&CPS May 2020 IEEE/I&CPS May 2020 **High-frequency signatures generated as a result of TW are used to detect and locate a fault**

Line Models for EMTP

Line Models Frequency Response

High-Frequency Signature-Based Fault Detection for Future MV Distribution Grids IEEE /I&CPS May 2020

Traveling-Waves Simulation

- \triangleright Modified IEEE 13-bus test system is developed as 13.8 kV. medium voltage (MV) distribution network in EMTP-RV 647
- \triangleright Understand the signature of the transients in the complex network.
- \triangleright Ideal CT and PT characteristics are assumed.
- \triangleright Frequency dependent (FD) line model is crucial for EMTP simulations.

MV Distribution Test Case

- Modified IEEE test system at 13.8 kV.
- Two overhead lines are replaced by underground cables between 645 & 632, 671B & 675. 647
- \triangleright Simulation timestep off 100 ns is used to study the different cases in test system.
- \triangleright Voltage and current probes at every bus are sampled at 10 MHZ to record high frequency waves.

Traveling -Wave Timing Diagram

- Indicated times are the wave propagation time from one end to other end of line.
- \triangleright To estimate arrival wave times at buses, add the times in the 647 path between fault and selected bus.
- \triangleright Alpha mode velocities are used to calculate the propagation time.

OH Lines with Line-to-Line Fault 13.8kV on Bus 680 **OH - Overhead Line** 680(A-ph) **UG - Underground Cable** 680(B-ph) 7.5 650 671B(A-ph) 671B(B-ph) 5.0 684(A-ph) 684(B-ph) 39µs $10.6 \mu s$ 2.5 Voltage (kV) Tap#1 Tap#2 $3\mu s$ 632 0.0 $1.64_{µS}$ $1.64_{µs}$ 2.69µs/4.50µs $2.69_{µs}$ $1.64_{µs}$ -2.5 \overline{OH} OH/UG ОH ᇭ ਾਸ 647 646 645 633 634 39µs -5.0 -7.5 671A 49.14 49.15 49.16 49.17 49.18 49.19 Time (ms) **Sapus** \triangleright Waves launched at the fault depends on $Tap#3$ Tap#4 $5.39 \mu s$ 671B $1.64_{µs}$ 2.69µs/4.50µs voltage difference \overline{OH} OH/UG ОН \triangleright Similar characteristic to LL fault currents Uπ 611 684 sups_s 675

High-Frequency Signature-Based Fault Detection for Future MV Distribution Grids **ICEL CONTROVIDES 680 T** IEEE/I&CPS May 2020

- Different fault types such as high impedance, arcing fault will be studied using the test system.
- \triangleright Frequency signatures will be developed using advanced signal processing techniques.
- \triangleright High frequency models of transformer and DER will be developed and validated through testing.
- \triangleright Results will be validated through field data.

Thank you

Email: yaswanthnag.velaga@nrel.gov

NREL/PR-5D00-77133

This work was authored in part by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE) under Solar Energy Technologies Office (SETO) Agreement Number 34237. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.