

Computational Fluid Dynamics Simulation of Compressible Non-Newtonian Biomass in a Compression-Screw Feeder

Mohammad Rahimi, Hari Sitaraman, James Lischeske, David Sievers, and Jonathan Stickel *National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, CO* NREL/PR-2C00-75580

2019 AIChE Annual Meeting, Orlando, FL November 10th, 2019

Motivation and Objectives

- Lignocellulosic biomass (such as forest and agricultural crop residues) is widely available (annually >0.5 bil tons) for conversion to energy sources (fuel/electricity)
- Compression-screw feeders are used in biorefineries to transport biomass feedstock from hopper to biomass-conversion reactors (pretreatment/pyrolysis reactors)
- Mechanical failure and feed plugging is one of the main challenges in the operation of screw feeder
- Our goal is to use simulation techniques to analyze the challenging operating conditions and predict the mechanical failure.
- Develop a more reliable design to avoid these operating failures

Experimental Setup

NREL Screw Feeder

NREL Screw Feeder

- Forest residue feedstock milled to pass 3/8 inch screen
- \sim 30% moisture
- 16.6 Kg/h flow rate
- 10.3 and 6.9 rpm rotation speed
- Screw inlet diameter: 4 in
- Screw outlet diameter: 3 in
- Screw pitch: 2 inch
- Length: 12.5 inch

Numerical Model

Compressible Bingham Fluid

- Concentrated biomass is a complex multiphase fluid (solid/liquid/gas)
	- 1. Compressible behavior
	- 2. Non-Newtonian rheology
- Duncan el al. recently studied biomass behavior in a pressure driven flow.
- They developed a density dependent yield stress model for compressible biomass

Duncan et al. *Journal of Rheology* 62 (2018) 801-815

Governing equations November 2019 a
Soverning e

November 2019

2

• The biomass feedstock is treated as a single compressible non-Newtonian fluid. $\overline{}$ introduction

$$
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \rho \underline{u} = 0
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \underline{u}) + \nabla \cdot \rho \underline{u} \underline{u} = -\nabla P + \nabla \cdot \underline{\tau}
$$
\n
$$
\underline{\tau} = \mu_{\text{Bingham}} \left(2\underline{\underline{D}} - \frac{2}{3} \nabla \cdot \underline{u} \underline{\underline{I}} \right)
$$
\n
$$
\underline{\underline{D}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla \underline{u} + (\nabla \underline{u})^T \right)
$$

 $\mathbf{y} = \frac{1}{2} (v \mathbf{u} + (v \mathbf{u}))$

Continuity

Conservation of momentum

Stress tensor for Bingham fluid

Rate of strain tensor

Transport/rheology models <u>2002 - 10 Million Compose</u>r ^D ⁼ ¹ **Transport/rheology model**

^r^u ⁺ ^ru*^T* (4)

^r^u ⁺ ^ru*^T* (4)

$$
\mu_{\text{Bingham}} = \min(\mu_{\text{max}}, \mu_p + \tau_y / \dot{\gamma})
$$
 Bingham
values a

*µ*Bingham = min(*µ*max*, µ^p* + ⌧*y/*˙) (5) Bingham fluid viscosity is capped to avoid infinity values at regions with very small strain rate

$$
\tau_y = \tau_{y,\mathrm{ref}} \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_{\mathrm{ref}}}\right)^b
$$

2

^D ⁼ ¹

Density-dependent yield stress (Duncan et al.) (6)

(3)

Collaborators (Akbari et al.) from University of Toledo measured yield stress parameters for the feedstock

Equation of State Changes of biomass feeds with pressure as the air of S the air S with the biomass is compressed. We model this electronic and the biomass is compressed. We model this electronic

Here *y,*ref and ⇢ref are reference yield stress and biomass density, respectively.

equation-of-state as part of our compressible governing equations. We follow α

$$
\rho(P) = \rho_{\rm ref} \left(\frac{\rho_{\rm max}}{\rho_{\rm ref}}\right)^{1-(P/P_{\rm ref})^{1-\chi}}
$$

Pressure-dependent density equation (Duncan et al.)

CFD implementation

- Used OpenFOAM framework
- Implemented a new thermophysical model for biomass equation of state
- Modified the transient compressible *rhoPimpleFoam* solver to include the new constitutive model in the momentum equation with density dependent yield stress
- Screw feeder geometry CAD STL files were used in *snappyHexMesh* to generate the computational domain mesh

Model Verification

Pressure–driven channel flow

- Verifying the pressure-density relation based on the new EOS
- rho $1.0e + 03$ 1040 (c) $1.1e + 03$ $0.013₇$ $-0x$ strainRate (d) $0.012 (e)$ $0.011 0.01 5 0.009 0.008 0.007 0.006 0.005 0.004$ 0.003 0.002 0.001 0.002 0.002 0.004 0.006 0.008 0.01 0.004 0.006 0.008 0.01

D

mu_Bingham

4000 6000

 $1.5e+0.5$

 $1.0e + 04$

 $1.0e+05$ 120000

 $1.5e + 02$

 (a)

 (b)

- Verifying the Bingham plastic motion in the channel flow
- High strain rate --- low viscosity (wall)
- Low strain rate --- high viscosity (middle)

Screw Feeder Simulation Results

Mesh and Boundary

- Mesh size: 1.1 mil cells
- CPU-time: 72 hours to simulate 600 s on 324 processors
- NREL's Eagle HPC system (Intel Xeon Gold Skylake)
- Boundary
	- Inlet: fixed velocity profile to capture the experimental mass flow rate and fill fraction
	- Outlet: fixed pressure
	- Stator: no slip wall
	- Screw: rotating wall
	- Used codeFixedValue to set the velocity BC at inlet and rotating surface

Outlet boundary is moved further out to have a 1 atm uncompressed free flow

Flow Field Results Subtask 2: Complete validation experiments in NREL's 4 in screw feeder.

Low rpm vs. high rpm

- The low rotation speed has higher fill fraction, leading to a higher biomass compression and shear stress.
- Both cases have same mass flow rate with different fill fraction

Torque Validation

Axial torque is calculated on the screw wall surface, from both viscous shear stress and pressure.

Summary

- Conclusions:
	- Developed a new compressible non-Newtonian fluid flow solver in OpenFOAM for biomass applications.
	- The constitutive model and rheology parameters used in this model are derived from experimental measurements.
	- The CFD simulations were able to predict NREL's screw feeder measured torque data with less than 10% error.
- Future work:
	- Perform modeling and comparison with high pressure experiments
	- Design a better geometry for a more reliable system

Acknowledgements

- Funding provided by U.S. Department of Energy Bioenergy Technologies Office (BETO)
- Ehsan Akbari Fakhrabadi and Matthew Liberatore (University of Toledo)
- Chris Kajzer (Valmet, Inc.)
- The simulations were performed using computational resources sponsored by the Department of Energy's Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy and located at the National Renewable Energy Laboratory

Thank you

www.nrel.gov

This work was authored by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by U.S. Department of Energy Bioenergy Technologies Office. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

ENREL **Transforming ENERGY**