

COLOSSAL GRAINS FOR A NEW STRUCTURAL PARADIGM IN THIN-FILM PHOTOVOLTAICS

Eric Colegrove*, Helio Moutinho, and David Albin - National Renewable Energy Laboratory

Marco Nardone - Bowling Green State University ACCGE-22 2nd American Conference on Crystal Growth and Epitaxy OMVPE-20 20th US Workshop on Organometallic

Vapor Phase Epitaxy

The Premise

- Grain boundaries in polycrystalline PV absorbers are locations of high defect densities and thus high recombination
 - Passivation strategies exist (CdCl₂) to mitigate these effects to some extent but has yet to eliminate it entirely
- Single crystals have shown promise for enabling reduced absorber compensation and recombination but are still far too expensive for large scale PV applications (specifically for III-V and II-VI absorbers)
- Large enough grains and/or templates coupled with fast/cheap epitaxy can enable the best of both worlds

 \rightarrow our new results suggest that these possibilities may be closer than expected





1	Background: CdTe PV and other technologies
2	Fast Epitaxy
3	Grain size, lifetime, and modeling
4	Colossal CdSeTe Grain Growth (CGG)
5	Fast Epitaxy on CGG
6	Doping and Diffusion
7	Conclusions

Commercial Success – Headroom to Improve

CdTe accounted for 40% of utility-scale PV installations in the US and 8% of PV globally in 2020 & is projected to install 25 GW in the next few years



550 MW CdTe solar farm in the Mojave desert Photo: First Solar



Efficiency >25% should be possible

Typical CdSeTe Absorber Processing



Higher Performance PV \rightarrow Single Crystals

Silicon: large grain multior single crystal



M. Woodhouse, et al., NREL (2018)



III-V: Single crystal (epi)

2016: CdTe Single Crystals

Better doping (~10¹⁶ cm⁻³)

Better lifetime (10-100ns)

Better interface

No CdCl₂ (less compensation?)



Burst, et al., Nature Energy (2016)

crystals $250/cm^2$ ~2.5m²/module \rightarrow >\$6M/module

How can II-VI PV technology move toward single crystal performance without the associated costs???

Different Approaches – Similar Goal





Fast Epitaxy in low-cost CSS



E. Colegrove, et al., *Scientific Reports* (2020)

Large Area Epitaxy in CSS

111



E. Colegrove, et al., Scientific Reports (2020)

Epitaxy maintained over very large areas with high growth rates

CSS has been scaled to 2 ft x 4 ft



High-quality epitaxy possible

... still have the problem of templates.

What size grains to we need?



CdCl₂, Grain Size, Se, and Lifetime





M. Amarasinghe, et al., Applied Physics Letters (2021)



Lifetime and grain size typically improved through CdCl₂

 $6-10\mu m$ grains is the best we can do with typical CdCl₂

Se alloying also significantly improves lifetime ... still requires CdCl₂

Cl-free Grain Size vs Lifetime

Long carrier lifetimes in large-grain polycrystalline CdTe without $CdCl_2$ (2016)

Cite as: Appl. Phys. Lett. **108**, 263903 (2016); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4954904 Submitted: 06 May 2016 . Accepted: 15 June 2016 . Published Online: 27 June 2016

S. A. Jensen, J. M. Burst, J. N. Duenow, H. L. Guthrey, J. Moseley, H. R. Moutinho, S. W. Johnston ら, A. Kanevce, M. M. Al-Jassim, and W. K. Metzger



Long lifetime possible with large grains without CdCl₂

No CdCl₂ modeling



If we want to eliminate $CdCl_2$ grains on the order of 500 μ m are necessary



Colossal Grain Growth (CGG)

D. S. Albin, et al., Journal of Physics: Energy (2021)



Evaporated $CdSe_{0.1}Te_{0.9}$ films annealed at 500-600C exhibit explosive recrystallization \rightarrow CGG

Film thickness and composition maintained

Grain sizes from 100 μ m to >500 μ m possible with film <5 μ m thick



Extreme CGG Provides Propagation Insights

CREST – Loughborough University



2 mm

Limitations and Challenges related to CGG





Epitaxy maintained at high rates by CSS

Source flux must exceed re-evaporation (sticking coefficients, adatom mobility, etc.)

Controlled by:

- Temperatures
- Background pressures
- Chemistry
- Template crystallinity (lattice parameters/orientation)

Lattice mismatch <1% for CdTe on CdSe_{0.1}Te_{0.9}



E. Colegrove, et al., Scientific Reports (2020)

poly template

(111)

IPF Z



CGG template

(111)

(101)

IPF Z

D.S. Albin, et al., Journal of Physics: Energy (2021) NREL | 22

VTD is much more dynamic



VTD is much more dynamic



Early deposition results in come epitaxy, but this breaks down in the dynamic process



Current status of As doping



W. K. Metzger, et al., Nature Energy (2019)

Series 6 (440W) vs. Series 6 CuRe (465W) Expected Energy Density Improvement⁽¹⁾



https://s2.q4cdn.com/646275317/files/First-Solar-Investor-Overview-(May-2021)-vF.pdf

As doping has enabled thin film CdSeTe devices with supplier stability → production lines being converted to CuRe ("Cu Replacement")

~21% devices with 10^{16} cm⁻³ absorber hole density, but Voc deficit still present

ightarrow junction interface issues are the most likely cause

As incorporation and diffusion

- As accumulates at deposition surface followed by lower, uniform, incorporation
- After CdCl₂ treatment, As redistributes, diffusing into Se rich region and accumulating at the oxide interface



CGG and diffusion

- As accumulates at deposition surface followed by lower, uniform, incorporation
- After CdCl₂ treatment, As diffuses more uniformly through CdTe, but does not penetrate CGG CdSeTe





Conclusions

 CGG coupled with fast epitaxy may enable II-VI PV technology to transition from a micro-crystalline structural paradigm into a multicrystalline regime without the need for CdCl₂

• Current limitations of CGG and low intra-grain material quality need to be addressed to realize a direct impact on PV performance



M. Amarasinghe, et al., Spring MRS 2021

NREL

Eric Colegrove Joel Duenow (Mahisha Amarasinghe) (Xin Zheng) David Albin Matt Reese Helio Moutinho (John Moseley) (Wyatt Metzger)

Bowling Green State University

Marco Nardone

CREST-Loughborough University

Thomas A. M. Fiducia Ali Abbas John M. Walls

Thank You!

www.nrel.gov

NREL/PR-5K00-80918

This work was authored in part by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Solar Energy Technologies Office. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

