

Evaluating the Feasibility of Higher Titers and Yields for 2,3-butanediol Production to Achieve the Biofuel Industry Economic Goal of Under \$3.50 GGE

Authors: Ryan Spiller, Holly Rohrer, Yat-Chen Chou, Ryan Davis, Min Zhang, Nancy Dowe

Abstract

To achieve the economic goal of producing biofuels that can compete with petroleum-based products, we increased titers of 2,3-butanediol (BDO) production. BDO has many advantages over ethanol; including lower toxicity, higher heat of capacity, and is a precursor to various valuable applications. To produce BDO for fuel upgrading, we have genetically modified Zymomonas mobilis (YC-1). Several materials and feed strategies were evaluated to determine the best titer and economic feasibility. Titers from DMR corn stover in a batch process were in the range of 70-80 g/L. The fully hydrolyzed material was easy to work with but suffered from dilution issues. We pushed the concentration of solids to above 25% www and were met with numerous challenges. This included an initial sugar concentration above 150 g/L, the high solid concentration altered the oxygen mass transfer rate and affected which products were produced, and the fermentation content the oxygen mass transfer rate and affected which products were produced, and the fermentation content the oxygen mass transfer rate and affected which products were produced, and the fermentation content of optimization. Using unhydrolyzed solids as the feed material, we achieved titers in the range of 75-95 g/L. BDO. The raw solids feed, though operationally more challenging to work with, proved to be promising as the rate of hydrolysis strategies. The clarified liquors showed high titers, high productivity, high yield, and high sugar utilization with little drawback operationally. The target goal of under \$3.50 GEW was achieved in several feeding strategies. Scale-up feasibility has also been demonstrated at the 100. Scale using both wholes lurry and liquor hydrolystes.

Materials and Methods

- An integrated strain of Zymomonas mobilis was used. The revive was grown in 16 mL of RMG 5% (10 g/L Veast Extract, 2 g/L KH2PO4, 50 g/L glucose) in a non-baffled shake flask for eight hours in an incubator at 30°C and 180 rom.
- The seed was grown in a 500 mL BioStat-Q plus fermenter with operating conditions: RMG 10%, 300 mL of working volume, an agitation of 500 rpm, a temperature of 30°C, pH of 5.8 titrating with 4N KOH, and an air flow rate of 100 ccm using sparge rings. The culture was grown under these conditions for 22 hours until the glucose concentration reached 40 – 50 g/L remaining in the fermenter.
- The fermenters were the same as the seed except for an agitation of 700 rpm and an air flow rate of 40 ccm using an overlay ring. The concentration of initial sugars varied for each experiment but was based on the efficiency of enzymatic hydrolysis and solids loading.

DMR Whole Slurry Fermentation Fed With Various Materials



- The overall productivity of the partially hydrolyzed feed was the lowest at 0.4 g/L/h. The raw solids were next at 0.49 g/L/H, with fully hydrolyzed at 0.57 g/L/h, and clarified liquors at 0.7 g/L/h
- Enzymatic hydrolysis of the raw solids to glucose and xylose during fermentation was lower compared to the hydrolyzed DMR whole slurry before fermentation
- · Glucose and xylose utilization was over 90% for every condition
- The fully hydrolyzed material was easy to work with but suffered from dilution issues, unhydrolyzed solids were operationally challenging but promoted co-utilization of sugars, and the clarified liquors were easy to work with and produced high titer, yield, and productivity



Legend for line graph colors: Blue (Glucose), Orange (xylose), Green (BDO), Red (acetoin), Purple (glycerol)



Continuous Feed Results in 125 g/L of BDO Titer



- The highest titers can be achieved using clarified liquors
- The feed material can be concentrated to over 600 g/L total sugars allowing for less dilution
- After glucose has been fully consumed the agitation speed should be reduced to 350 rpm, reducing the production of acetoin.

Economic Analysis of DMR Whole Slurry Feeds vs DDR Clarified Liquor

	Whole Slurry Batch			Whole Slurry SSF			Clarified Sugars Fed-Batch		The lowest MFSP cases
Case	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	 are Clarified Sugar Fed- Batch at 140 g/L BDO target titer, as well as the whole slurry SSF case at 10 mg/g enzyme loading The added cost for 2 g/L sorbitol is not justified if it can only achieve a 10 g/L higher BDO titer, adding net \$0.06/GGE for the 150 g/L case with sorbitol
Minimum Fuel Selling Price (\$/GGE)	\$3.74	\$3.65	\$4.15	\$3.30	\$3.82	\$4.91	\$3.28	\$3.34	
Sorbitol Cost (cents/GGE)	17.6	8.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.3	
A400 Enzyme Production Cost (Cents/GGE)	52.8	52.8	61.8	49.4	95.0	188.8	45.1	45.1	
BDO Titer (g/L fermentation effluent)	97.6	97.6	82.6	102.8	99.2	92.8	142.4	151.9	
Sorbitol Loading (g/L fermentation volume)	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	
Enzyme Loading (mg/g cellulose to sacch)	10	10	10	10	20	40	10	10	
Fuel Yield (GGE/ U.S. ton feed)	36.23	36.23	30.94	38.73	38.54	38.24	42.43	42.43	
Evap % loss of BDO (cases 1-6) or sugars (cases 7-8)	15.1%	15.1%	13.7%	14.1%	14.4%	15.1%	0.0%	0.0%	
NG usage-A810 High solids Boiler (kg/hr)	0	0	0	0	0	0	800	500	
MFSP and other key TEA results for BDO fermentation scenarios evaluated									addition

Conclusion

We have developed a scalable fermentation to produce 2,3-butanediol (BDO) from biomass sugars. The process uses DMR corn stover and enzymes to release fermentable sugars. The sugars are converted by the recombinant biocatalyst *Zymomonas mobilis*, to produce BDO which is a versatile, upgradeable chemical for sustainable aviation fuels, tires, and adhesives. Key to the economics is producing a high titler from the fermentation which reduces the amount of water to remove in downstream separations and upgrading. We pushed the concentration of whole slury solids to above 25% w/w solids with the goal of 100 g/L BDO titer and were successful in hitting our 125 g/L BDO titer goal using concentrated liquor.

This work was authored by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08G028308, Funding provided by U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Bioenergy Technologies Office. The views apressed in the article do not necessarily represent the Views of the US operaminent Tabins. The U.S. Government tabins and the publisher, by accepting the article do not necessarily represent the Views of the U.S. Operaminent tabins and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government tabins and necessarily provide line of the publisher of the U.S. Operaminent publisher.