# Reference Cell Performance and Modeling on a One-Axis Tracking Surface

Frank Vignola<sup>1</sup>, Josh Peterson<sup>1</sup>, Rich Kessler<sup>1</sup>, Sean Snider<sup>2</sup>, Peter Gotseff<sup>3</sup>, Manajit Sengupta<sup>3</sup>, Aron Habte<sup>3</sup>, Afshin Andreas<sup>3</sup>, and Fotis Mromatakis<sup>4</sup>

1Material Science Instituted/University of Oregon, Eugene (USA) <sup>2</sup>St. Mary's High School, Medford (USA)

3National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden (USA)

4Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering/Hellenic Mediterranean University, Heraklion (Greece)

1 non 0.998 0.996  $0.994.$ 

 $0.992 \frac{8}{5}$ 

0.990  $0.988 \frac{3}{4}$ 

380 0

0.984

0.982

 $1.00$ 

0.99 0.98

 $0.97$ <br> $0.96$ <br> $0.96$ 

 $0.95\frac{8}{5}$  $0.94 \frac{3}{4}$ 

 $40^{\circ}$ 

 $35^{\circ}$ 

%Delta IKS %Delta NE  $0.93$  $0.92$ SDelta FFT

-Ave. F(AOI) 0.91

65°  $70^\circ$ 

Percent Difference between Reference Cell and Pyranometer Measurements 9/12/2020 SRRI - Golden. CO

Whateview

%Delta IMT

· Average FAOI

 $50^{\circ}$   $55^{\circ}$   $60^{\circ}$ 

Angle-of-Incidence (Degrees)

%Delta ATO

%Delta NES

**SDelta FFT** 

 $10^{\circ}$ 

 $15^{\circ}$  $20^{\circ}$  $25^{\circ}$  $30^{1}$ 

 $45^{\circ}$ 

Angle-of-Incidence (Degrees)

Percent Difference of between Reference Cell and Percent Difference of between Reference Cell and<br>yranometer Measurements 12/25/2020 SRRL - Golden, CO

2%

 $0\%$  $-2%$ 

 $-4%$ 

 $202$ 

 $-8%$ 怒

12%

10%

8%  $6%$ 

 $4%$ 

2%

0%

- Examine behavior of reference cells on a one-axis tracking surface
- Model reference cell output using spectral data and reference cell responsivity
- Percent difference between reference cell measurements and pyranometer measurements (CMP 22)
- Demonstrates the need to specify standard conditions for calibrations and comparisons.



hoto of experimental setup from NREI

## **Objectives**

CMP 22 pyranometer

EKO Wiser spectroradiometer EKO one-axis tracker Reference cells

- 1. Show model developed for a two-axis tracking surface works on a one-axis tracking surface
- 2. Examine the how model performs under various:
	- angle-incidence conditions
	- changes in incoming spectral irradiance
	- reference cell temperatures
- 3. Examine model using five different reference cells over entire year
- 4. Lay basis to relate reference cell measurements to irradiance measurements

### **Reference Cell Model**

 $RC_{model} = \frac{F(A0I)}{K} \sum_{\lambda=280nm}^{4000} R_{\lambda}(T) \cdot I_{\lambda}$ 

- **F(AOI)**: Angle-of-incident function. The average transmission of light through the glazing
- Weighted average of the F(AOI)'s for direct, circumsolar, dome, horizon, and ground reflected irradiance components. [2, 3]
- **K**: a constant. Similar to the responsivity of a pyranometer
- **Rλ(T)**: Spectral responsivity of the reference cell adjusted for temperature (T) [1]
- **I<sub>1</sub>** is the incident spectral irradiance at wavelength  $(\lambda)$



Under clear skies, there is a 1.7% variation in K throughout the year

#### **Conclusion**

to 4% variation in K over the day

Date (mm/dd/yy)

2020-2021, SRRL - Golden, CO

• **Influences to the model:** • Spectral distribution changes are the dominant influence, especially

in the winter

over the year.

than 0.7%

**References / Acknowledgements**

- Reference cell model successfully reproduces reference cell output within
- **1.7% over the year (clear skies)**
- **3 – 4% over the day (cloudy skies)**
- Model reference cell output using spectral data and reference cell responsivity
- **Incorporates**:
- **Perez model** to estimate diffuse components

SolarPACES, September 27–October 1, 2020

215, (2021) pp. 482-491

• **Marion model** to calculate transmission through the glazing

1. Y. Hishikawa, M. Yoshita, H. Ohshima, K. Yamagoe, H. Shimura, A. Sasaki, and T. Ueda, "Temperature dependence of the short circuit current and spectral responsivity of various kinds of crystalline silicon photovoltaic devices," Japanese Journal of Applied Physics 57, 08RG17 (2018) 2. B. Marion, "Numerical method for angle-of-incidence correction factors for diffuse radiation incident<br>photovoltaic modules," Solar Energy 147 (2017) pp. 344–348<br>3. R. Perez, P. Ineichen, R. Seals, J. Michalsky, "Modelin 4. F. Vignola, J. Peterson, R. Kessler, S. Snider, A. Andreas, A. Habte, P. Gotseff, M. Sengupta, and F. Mavromatakis, "Evaluation of Reference Solar Cells on a Two-Axis Tracking Using Spectral Measurements,"

5. F. Vignola, J. Peterson, R. Kessler, V. Sandhu, S. Snider, A. Habte, P. Gotseff, A. Andreas, M. Sengupta, and F. Mavromatakis, "Improved Field Evaluation of Reference Cell Using Spectral Measurements," Solar Energy

• **Hishikawa model** to adjust spectral responsivity to temperature changes

> This work was authored in part by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Solar Energy Technologies Office. The views expressed in the poster do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

Demonstrates uniform calibration methodology is needed to

• The influence of temperature less

• Angle-of-Incident effects, F(AOI) span a variety of incident angles

compare reference cells in the field

We also thank the other sponsors of the University of Oregon Solar Radiation Monitoring Laboratory, the Bonneville Power Administration, the Energy Trust of Oregon, and Portland General Electric.

**University of Oregon**<br>Solar Radiation Monitoring Laboratory

