

Count every trip: finding the uncertainty in energy estimates made from inferred travel modes

Michael Allen¹, K. Shankari¹

8:59 🖸 🖬 04 👫 🔹

← Sun 04 Sep 22

8:40 AM 9 Cole Place

9:25 AM

24.2 m

8:40 AN

2:18 AM

1. National Renewable Energy Laboratory

Introduction

- Smartphones can record travel diaries
 - Users then label the mode and purpose
- Problem: People get tired of labeling
 - Users only label ~38% of their trips
- Solution: guess (infer) trip modes using models
 - Need to know the uncertainty

"Count every trip" project goal: use all collected data with appropriate

uncertainty

Methods

- Used existing OpenPATH mode inference model
- Used a public ground truth dataset (MobilityNet) to find uncertainty in:
- Travel length
- Inferred energy intensity
 - Get conditional mode probability from confusion matrix
- Can use with other mode classifiers
- Energy consumption formula:
- I is energy intensity
- L is trip length in miles
- · D is the set of trips
- Use variance propagation:
- Sigma squared represents variance
- · f is the energy consumption function

$$Var(E) \approx \sigma_I^2 (\frac{\partial f}{\partial I}|_{\mathbf{E}[(I,L)]})^2 + \sigma_L^2 (\frac{\partial f}{\partial L}|_{\mathbf{E}[(I,L)]})^2$$

Assumptions

- · Mode error and length error independent
- Ignore energy model specification error
- Error in MobilityNet applies to other geographies
- Equal chances of drove alone and shared ride



OpenPATH app screenshot

23.6 mi

0.4 mi

Confusion matrix for the OpenPATH sensing algorithm on IOS using MobilityNet Data. Based on work by Kosmacher and Shankari.

Evaluation

- Looked at 92k user labeled trips across multiple CanBikeCO programs and estimated energy consumption
- Estimated energy consumption for the same trips with *inferred mode labels*
- Estimated energy consumption variance
- Compared user labeled with inferred
- 9% error overall
- Each CanBikeCO program's total within 1 standard deviation (sd)
- 85% of participant energy consumptions within 2 sd

Future Work and Relevance

- · Apply methods to other mode inference models
- · Find inferred mode proportion uncertainties
- Travel diary studies with less label burden
- Use data from updated travel patterns for behavior models

- 200000	User Label	Proportion of labeled distance
175000	shared_ride	0.4541
173000	$\mathrm{drove}_{-}\mathrm{alone}$	0.2452
- 150000	${ m pilot_ebike}$	0.1288
125000	not_a_trip	0.0428
- 100000	walk	0.0323
35.000	bus	0.0302
73000	bike	0.0158
- 50000	train_to airplane	0.0107
- 25000	train	0.0087
o	taxi	0.0064

Top 11 user labeled modes by distance proportion represented in CanBikeCO

Cumulative energy consumption by program from user labels vs from inferred labels



Cumulative energy consumption by program with user labeled modes vs with inferred modes. Error bars show the estimated value plus or minus 1 standard deviation.

Acknowledgements

This work was authored by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08G028308. This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Workforce Development for Teachers and Scientists (WDTS) under the Science Undergraduate Laboratory Internships Program (SUL). This material is also based upon work supported by the DOE Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Vehicle Technologies Office, as part of the Energy Efficient Mobility Systems program's Core Tools activity area. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE office. Government. The U.S. Government tretains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government purposes.

