# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Office of ENERGY EFFICIENCY & RENEWABLE ENERGY



# Understanding Building Energy Use in Indianapolis-St. Louis-Springfield-Peoria:

**Basic Building Stock Characterization** 

August 2023





Understanding Building Energy Use in Indianapolis-St. Louis-Springfield-Peoria: Basic Building Stock Characterization

#### **Disclaimer**

This work was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof, its contractors or subcontractors.

#### **Authors**

The authors of this report are:

Ry Horsey, Andrew Parker, Chris Caradonna, Matthew Dahlhausen, Lauren Klun, Amy LeBar, and Marlena Praprost, National Renewable Energy Laboratory

The technical managers of this report are:

Harry Bergmann, U.S. Department of Energy Amir Roth, U.S. Department of Energy

# **Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to acknowledge the valuable guidance and input provided during this report. The authors are grateful to the following list of contributors. Their feedback, guidance, and review is greatly appreciated by the authors.

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory: Bing Liu

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory: Paul Mathew, Cindy Regnier

U.S. Department of Energy: Ian Blanding, Billierae Engelman, and Ram Narayanamurthy

This report was prepared by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory for the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Building Technologies Office.

#### 1 Overview

This report is part of a publication series that focuses on approximately 100 different local geographies, or "clusters." Each report provides commercial and multifamily building characteristic and energy data for a local geography, with the intention of helping policymakers at the city, county and state levels better understand building energy use. Specifically, this report breaks down the building stock in the counties shown in Figure 1 by building type, size, energy consumption, and emissions.

Buildings emit greenhouse gases due to on-site fossil fuel use and offsite electricity generation. Addressing climate change requires improving building efficiency and reducing on-site fossil fuel combustion. Policymakers who want to reduce emissions will benefit from understanding the building stock because different types of policy interventions will be more applicable to certain types and sizes of buildings than others. Several questions are critical to ask and answer: What types of buildings use the most energy? How do emissions change with building size? How do emissions change by location?

Commercial building energy data are provided by the United States Energy Information Agency (EIA) for the nine U.S. census divisions, each of which covers multiple states (CBECS 2014). The EIA provides similar residential building data by state (RECS 2022). However, city, county, and state policymakers often need finer resolution to understand the building stock and guide decision-making. This report presents commercial and multifamily building energy data for the counties shown in Figure 1. The energy data in this report are broken out by building type and size.

#### 1.1 What Types of Questions Can This Help Me Answer for My City, County, or Region?

- How many buildings exist, by type and size?
- Which buildings are responsible for the most emissions today, by type and size?
- How many buildings or what fraction of emissions are covered by a policy's size threshold?
- How might a policy's building size threshold impact equity?

#### 1.2 What Types of Questions Will This Report Not Help Me Answer?

- What will the building stock, energy use, and emissions look like in the future?
- What metric and target levels are best for building energy policy?
- How should a policy acknowledge variation in use within a given building type?
- How will zoning and development changes impact building energy use?

#### 1.3 How Were the Geographic Clusters Developed?

Clusters are formed on a county basis and depend on building type, age, and climate. Adjacent counties with similar commercial densities, types, and age distributions form a cluster. Clusters form regional groups if they belong to the same American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) climate zone. See Horsey, Rozenfeld, and Bergmann 2023 for more detail on the clustering method.

#### 1.4 What Building Types Are Covered?

This report includes multifamily buildings over 10,000 square feet and the commercial buildings listed in Table 1. The commercial building types considered in this analysis represent two-thirds of annual commercial building site energy consumption across the entire United States.

This report excludes a number of building types not currently included in the underlying data. The omitted building types with the highest national energy use include colleges, laboratories, grocery stores, entertainment venues, recreation centers, religious buildings, and vehicle repair shops. Some of these may be added in the future. Information on omitted building types, such as counts, energy use, and floor area can be found in CBECS 2014.

Understanding Building Energy Use in Indianapolis-St. Louis-Springfield-Peoria: Basic Building Stock Characterization

Table 1. Building Stock Segments Covered in This Document

Sector	<b>Building Type Group</b>	<b>Building Type</b>	
	Food Service	Full Service Restaurant	
	1 000 Service	Quick Service Restaurant	
	Mercantile	Retail Strip Mall	
	Wercantile	Retail Standalone	
		Small Office	
	Office	Medium Office	
Commercial		Large Office	
Odminicidiai	Education	Primary School	
	Laucation	Secondary School	
	Healthcare	Outpatient	
	ricallicale	Hospital	
	Lodging	Small Hotel	
	Louging	Large Hotel	
	Warehouse and Storage	Warehouse	
Residential	Multifamily	Multifamily	

#### 1.5 Vintage

The analysis in this report is not segmented by vintage (year constructed). The data do not support the common perception that older commercial buildings have a higher energy use per square foot. For example, commercial buildings constructed between 2000 and 2018 have roughly 10% higher energy use per square foot than buildings constructed before 2000. There do not appear to be other energy use per square foot trends in the data (EIA 2022).

#### 2 Geographies Covered in This Report

Figure 1 shows the map of the buildings covered in this report. Appendix A lists the counties and county equivalents included in the map.




Figure 1. Map showing the cluster of counties analyzed in this report

#### 3 Stock Segmentation by Size

Figure 2 shows building stock characteristics by building size. Table 2 in Appendix B provides these data in a tabular format. Emissions include both direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions. Direct emissions are from on-site fossil fuel combustion, and indirect emissions are from regional electricity generation. Direct emissions include both combustion and pre-combustion emissions (e.g., methane leakage for natural gas) and are based on RESNET/ANSI/ICC Standard 301 2018. Indirect emissions are calculated using state level data from the EPA for 2019 (eGRID, n.d.).

Building energy use and emissions depend on the total floor area, not the number of buildings. This is because lighting, heating, cooling, and ventilation scale with floor area.

The source of the data in this report is the public release of *ComStock End Use Savings Shape 2023 Release 1 Base-line Dataset - 2018 Weather 2023*. For reference documentation please see Parker et al. 2023.




Figure 2. Building stock segmentation by building size. The black line indicates buildings above 50,000 square feet

The black line in Figure 2 traces buildings over 50,000 square feet across each column. Buildings less than 50,000 square feet make up 83% of buildings, but only 33% of area, 36% of site energy consumption, and 36% of emissions. Buildings over 50,000 square feet represent just 17% of buildings, but 64% of energy use and 64% of emissions. Thus, policies that target large buildings can address most emissions while impacting few buildings (17% vs 83%).

In this analysis only multifamily buildings over 10,000 square feet are presented. Due to limitations in the data used to develop this report, buildings over 500,000 square feet are underrepresented. If the analysis area shown in Figure 1 includes a significant number of skyscrapers, energy use in large buildings will be underrepresented.

#### 4 Building Site Energy Use and Emissions Segmentation

The building types in this report have unique energy challenges and opportunities.

#### **4.1 Size**

Figure 3 shows energy use by building type and size. Table 3 in Appendix B provides these data in a tabular format. Among buildings over 50,000 square feet, Healthcare buildings account for 20% of the energy used, followed by Office buildings at 20% and Education buildings at 20%. Other building types account for the remaining 41%. Among buildings over 25,000 square feet, Mercantile buildings account for 21% of the energy used, followed by Office buildings at 19% and Healthcare buildings at 18%. Other building types account for the remaining 42%.




Figure 3. Site energy broken down by building type and size

Large buildings tend to have more complex heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems and dedicated facility staff. They also have the resources to track and report energy use. In the context of large buildings, energy costs and efficiency matter enough for dedicated staff to focus on it. Large buildings may already have plans to improve energy efficiency.

Smaller buildings may have a part-time maintenance person at best. Energy costs and thus potential savings tend to be small, and it is often not worthwhile to hire a professional to recommend energy savings projects. Small commercial HVAC contractors serve these buildings with limited professional engineering design. Efficient equipment incentives, codes, and standards matter in these buildings.

Certain building types, like offices, span a range of building sizes. Others, like healthcare, are large, whereas restaurants are small. As shown throughout this analysis, a policy targeting larger building types can reduce a large fraction of emissions.




Figure 4. Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by building type and size

Figure 4 shows emissions by building type and size. Table 4 in Appendix B provides these data in a tabular format. Among buildings over 50,000 square feet, Office buildings account for 21% of emissions, followed by Mercantile buildings at 18% and Education buildings at 17%. Other building types account for the remaining 43%. Among buildings over 25,000 square feet, Mercantile buildings account for 23% of emissions, followed by Office buildings at 20% and Healthcare buildings at 16%. Other building types account for the remaining 42%.

#### 4.2 Energy End Uses

In this report series, "energy end use" is defined as the equipment that directly consumes energy in a building such as heating, cooling and ventilation, lighting, water heating, or refrigeration. The relative importance of each energy end use varies by building type. For example, lighting matters more in retail buildings than in warehouses. Restaurants are considered energy intensive because of their high cooking and water heating needs.

#### 5 Building Segmentation in Disadvantaged Communities

Figures 5, 6, and 7 compare building characteristics in disadvantaged communities to those in other areas. Tables 5, 6, and 7 in Appendix B provide the associated data in a tabular format. The definition of disadvantaged communities used in this report comes from Version 1.0 of the Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool (CEJST), which is maintained by the White House Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) (CEQ 2023). CEJST defines disadvantaged communities as "a census tract that is: (1) at or above the threshold for one or more environmental, climate, or other burdens, and (2) at or above the threshold for an associated socioeconomic burden." In addition, census tracts "within the boundaries of Federally Recognized Tribes are designated as disadvantaged" (CEJST: Technical Support Document 2022).

Not all disadvantaged individuals live in disadvantaged communities. The United States Office of Management and Budget (OMB) defines communities as "either a group of individuals living in geographic proximity to one another, or a geographically dispersed set of individuals (such as migrant workers or Native Americans), where either type of group experiences common conditions" (OMB M-21-28 2021). CEJST applies to geographically proximate communities. Thus, communities not labeled as disadvantaged may still contain many disadvantaged individuals.




Figure 5. Segmentation of the commercial building stock by type, segmented into "disadvantaged" and "other" (as defined by CEJST)




Figure 6. Segmentation of the commercial building stock by size, segmented into "disadvantaged" and "other" (as defined by CEJST)

Figure 7 segments households in multifamily buildings by building size, differentiating between "disadvantaged" and "other" communities (as defined by CEJST data on a census tract basis). This report only includes households in multifamily buildings over 10,000 square feet. Multifamily building characteristics come from a collation of county assessor databases (LightBox 2020). The figure illustrates how a policy's building size threshold might impact multifamily buildings in disadvantaged communities. A 50,000-square-foot threshold includes 35% of multifamily buildings in disadvantaged communities. A 25,000-square-foot threshold includes 56% of multifamily buildings in disadvantaged communities.




Figure 7. Households in multifamily buildings over 10,000 square feet, segmented into "disadvantaged" and "other" (as defined by CEJST)

# A County and County Equivalents Included in the Analysis

The following counties (or equivalents thereof) in the listed states are included in this analysis:

Illinois Bond County, Calhoun County, Champaign County, Clark County, Clinton County, Coles County, Cumberland County, Douglas County, Edgar County, Fulton County, Henry County, Jersey County, Macon County, Macoupin County, Madison County, Marshall County, McLean County, Menard County, Mercer County, Monroe County, Moultrie County, Peoria County, Piatt County, Rock Island County, Sangamon County, St. Clair County, Stark County, Tazewell County, Vermilion County and Woodford County.

Indiana Allen County, Bartholomew County, Benton County, Boone County, Brown County, Carroll County, Clay County, Delaware County, Hamilton County, Hancock County, Hendricks County, Howard County, Johnson County, Madison County, Marion County, Monroe County, Morgan County, Owen County, Parke County, Putnam County, Shelby County, Sullivan County, Tippecanoe County, Vermillion County, Vigo County, Warren County and Whitley County.

Iowa Scott County.

**Missouri** Franklin County, Jefferson County, Lincoln County, St. Charles County, St. Louis city, St. Louis County and Warren County.

## **B** Tables of Figure Values

The following tables summarize the figures shown throughout the report.

#### 0.1 Building Stock Segmentation by Building Size

Table 2. Segmentation of the Building Stock by Building Size (floor area is in square feet, site energy is in TBtu, and emissions are in million metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

<b>Building Size</b>	Number of Buildings by Size	Floor Area by Size	Site Energy by Size	Emissions by Size
<1k ft <sup>2</sup>	1,980	1,980,000	0.407	0.0531
1k – 5k ft <sup>2</sup>	9,610	28,800,000	5.37	0.753
5k – 10k ft <sup>2</sup>	9,060	67,900,000	8.63	1.21
10k – 25k ft <sup>2</sup>	21,800	291,000,000	20.2	2.9
25k – 50k ft <sup>2</sup>	6,440	220,000,000	15.6	2.29
50k – 100k ft <sup>2</sup>	6,490	470,000,000	33.7	4.87
100k – 200k ft <sup>2</sup>	2,660	377,000,000	24.3	3.6
200k – 500k ft <sup>2</sup>	872	292,000,000	21.4	2.93
500k – 1Mil ft <sup>2</sup>	75	55,000,000	4.85	0.657
> 1Mil ft <sup>2</sup>	34	33,800,000	5.06	0.589

#### 0.2 Site Energy by Building Type and Size

Table 3. Building Stock Site Energy by Building Type and Size (all values are in TBtu)

Building Size	Education	Food Ser- vice	Healthcare	Lodging	Mercantile	Multifamily	Office	Warehouse and Storage
<1k ft <sup>2</sup>	0	0.219	0.00196	0	0.0291	0	0.148	0.00916
1k – 5k ft <sup>2</sup>	0.0234	3.7	0.0643	0.00307	0.252	0	1.27	0.0504
5k – 10k ft <sup>2</sup>	0.0231	4.72	0.0892	0.0242	0.709	0	2.95	0.119
10k – 25k ft <sup>2</sup>	0.261	3.78	0.39	0.146	3.22	7.55	4.26	0.549
25k – 50k ft <sup>2</sup>	1.37	0	1.38	0.472	6.77	1.99	2.11	1.51
50k – 100k ft <sup>2</sup>	9.21	0	2.39	0.878	9.88	1.7	5.92	3.71
100k – 200k ft <sup>2</sup>	6.39	0	2.84	1.25	5.07	1.5	3.04	4.18
200k – 500k ft <sup>2</sup>	1.81	0	5.39	3.45	0.365	0.983	7	2.38
500k – 1Mil ft <sup>2</sup>	0	0	1.99	0.947	0	0.0351	1.52	0.357
> 1Mil ft <sup>2</sup>	0	0	5.06	0	0	0.00437	0	0

#### 0.3 Emissions by Building Type and Size

Table 4. Building Stock Emissions by Building Type and Size (all values are in million metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

Building Size	Education	Food Ser- vice	Healthcare	Lodging	Mercantile	Multifamily	Office	Warehouse and Storage
<1k ft <sup>2</sup>	0	0.0284	0.000228	0	0.00391	0	0.0194	0.00103
1k – 5k ft <sup>2</sup>	0.0021	0.52	0.00862	0.000575	0.0373	0	0.178	0.00641
5k – 10k ft <sup>2</sup>	0.00239	0.641	0.0118	0.00327	0.104	0	0.43	0.0162
10k – 25k ft <sup>2</sup>	0.0268	0.54	0.061	0.0205	0.483	1.06	0.629	0.0771
25k – 50k ft <sup>2</sup>	0.156	0	0.205	0.07	1.04	0.295	0.299	0.23
50k – 100k ft <sup>2</sup>	1.12	0	0.346	0.124	1.48	0.258	0.96	0.591
100k – 200k ft <sup>2</sup>	0.84	0	0.414	0.169	0.797	0.232	0.47	0.679
200k – 500k ft <sup>2</sup>	0.235	0	0.567	0.563	0.0523	0.133	1.02	0.365
500k – 1Mil ft <sup>2</sup>	0	0	0.24	0.174	0	0.00496	0.196	0.0422
> 1Mil ft <sup>2</sup>	0	0	0.588	0	0	0.00093	0	0

# 0.4 Segmentation of the Commercial Building Stock by Type and CEJST Disadvantaged Definition

Table 5. Commercial Building Stock Floor Area Segmented by CEJST Disadvantaged Definition and Type (all values are in square feet)

<b>Building Type</b>	Disadvantaged	Other	
Education	64,800,000	176,000,000	
Food Service	11,500,000	26,000,000	
Healthcare	34,700,000	101,000,000	
Lodging	21,300,000	57,000,000	
Mercantile	90,400,000	176,000,000	
Office	95,300,000	279,000,000	
Warehouse and Storage	160,000,000	249,000,000	

### 0.5 Segmentation of the Commercial Building Stock by Size and CEJST Disadvantaged Definition Table 6. Commercial Building Stock Floor Area Segmented by CEJST Disadvantaged Definition and Size (all values are in square feet)

<b>Building Size</b>	Disadvantaged	Other
<1k ft <sup>2</sup>	570,000	1,410,000
1k – 5k ft <sup>2</sup>	9,000,000	19,800,000
5k – 10k ft <sup>2</sup>	21,900,000	46,100,000
10k – 25k ft <sup>2</sup>	46,500,000	87,600,000
25k – 50k ft <sup>2</sup>	61,600,000	116,000,000
50k – 100k ft <sup>2</sup>	146,000,000	289,000,000
100k – 200k ft <sup>2</sup>	109,000,000	232,000,000
200k – 500k ft <sup>2</sup>	63,300,000	206,000,000
500k – 1Mil ft <sup>2</sup>	12,200,000	40,700,000
> 1Mil ft <sup>2</sup>	8,290,000	24,900,000

# 

Table 7. Multifamily Households Segmented by CEJST Disadvantaged Definition and Size (all values are in number of households)

<b>Building Size</b>	Disadvantaged	Other
10k – 25k ft <sup>2</sup>	40,500	116,000
25k – 50k ft <sup>2</sup>	19,700	52,400
> 50k ft <sup>2</sup>	31,800	81,700

Understanding Building Energy Use in Indianapolis-St. Louis-Springfield-Peoria: Basic Building Stock Characterization

#### **References:**

RESNET/ANSI/ICC Standard 301. Standard for the Calculation and Labeling of the Energy Performance of Dwelling and Sleeping Units using an Energy Rating Index. 2018.

CBECS. Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey 2012. 2014. Technical report. U.S. Energy Information Administration 1000 Independence Ave., SW Washington, DC 20585: U.S. Energy Information Administration. https://www.eia.gov/consumption/commercial/data/2012/.

CEQ. *Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool.* 2023. Accessed Feb. 24, 2023 [Online]. Council on Environmental Quality, Council on Environmental Quality 730 Jackson Place NW Washington, D.C. 20506. https://screeningtool.geoplatform.gov/en.

ComStock End Use Savings Shape 2023 Release 1 Baseline Dataset - 2018 Weather. 2023. National Renewable Energy Laboratory. https://data.openei.org/s3\_viewer?bucket=oedi-data-lake&prefix=nrel-pds-building-stock%2Fend-use-load-profiles-for-us-building-stock%2F2023%2Fcomstock\_amy2018\_release\_1%2F.

CEJST: Technical Support Document. *Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool: Technical Support Document - Version 1.0.* 2022. Council on Environmental Quality 730 Jackson Place NW Washington, D.C. 20506: Council on Environmental Quality, November. https://static-data-screeningtool.geoplatform.gov/data-versions/1.0/data/score/downloadable/1.0-cejst-technical-support-document.pdf.

eGRID. "Emissions and Generation Resource Integrated Database: 2019." n.d. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Accessed March 8, 2023. https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2021-02/egrid2019\_data.xlsx.

EIA. 2022. *Table C5: Consumption and gross energy intensity by census region for sum of major fuels.* Technical report. U.S. Energy Information Administration 1000 Independence Ave., SW Washington, DC 20585: U.S. Energy Information Administration. https://www.eia.gov/consumption/commercial/data/2018/index.php?view=consumption.

Horsey, Henry R., Rozenfeld, Hernan, and Bergmann, Harry. 2023. *Stock Segmentation Cluster Development: Technical Reference Document*. NREL/NREL/TP-5500-84648. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory. https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy23osti/84648.pdf.

LightBox. 2020. LightBox Property. Technical report. LightBox LLC. https://www.lightboxre.com/data/property/.

OMB M-21-28. *Interim Implementation Guidance for the Justice40 Initiative*. 2021. OMB M-21-28. United States Office of Management and Budget, 725 Seventeenth Street NW., Washington, DC 20503. https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/M-21-28.pdf.

Parker, Andrew, Horsey, Henry, Dahlhausen, Matthew, Praprost, Marlena, CaraDonna, Christopher, LeBar, Amy, and Klun, Lauren. 2023. *ComStock Reference Documentation: Version 1*. NREL/NREL/TP-5500-83819. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory. https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy23osti/83819.pdf.

RECS. *Residential Buildings Energy Consumption Survey 2020*. 2022. Technical report. U.S. Energy Information Administration 1000 Independence Ave., SW Washington, DC 20585: U.S. Energy Information Administration. https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2020/.

