


Measuring Sustainability of Solar Modules for Energy Transition: Mass, Energy, and Circularity



Heather Mirletz^{1,2}, Silvana Ovatt², Macarena Mendez Ribot², Seetharaman Sridhar^{1,2,3}, Teresa Barnes²

1. Advanced Energy Systems Graduate Program Colorado School of Mines, Golden CO
 2. National Renewable Energy Lab, Golden CO
 3. Ira A. Fulton Schools of Engineering, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ



Common Sustainability Proposals for Photovoltaics


These are the most common sustainability proposals for photovoltaic modules (PV): Maximize Lifetime, Maximize Efficiency, Maximize Recycling. But which is really the most sustainable choice?




How do we measure impact of circular choices for PV in the context of Energy Transition?

Effective Capacity and Replacements

Top priority: Build and Maintain PV Capacity for Energy Transition
 Goal: Minimize Replacements
 Effective Capacity = installs – degradation – failures – project lifetime; represents capacity available to generate energy.
 Replacements will be required before 2100, increasing annual manufacturing. Quantity of replacements depends on lifetime.
 Short lived modules significantly decrease effective capacity and therefore increase required replacements.




Cumulative Installations including Replacements




Raw Material Demand

Goal: Maximize Extraction of Virgin Materials
 Material extraction and refinement entails energy and environmental impacts. These can be minimized through increasing recycling rates or increasing lifetime. Currently, there is no closed-loop recycling for c-Si PV modules, and only the CdTe semiconductor is closed-loop in thin film technology.




Lifecycle Wastes

Goal: Minimize Wastes throughout PV lifecycle
 Wastes are generated during refinement and manufacturing as well as at end of life. This means more replacements manufacturing generates more manufacturing wastes. For long lived modules, end of life wastes will occur after 2050, therefore long life provides "grace period" to develop circular supply chains.




Energy Demands

Goal: Minimize Energy demands
 Energy demands can be reduced by reducing the quantity of manufacturing and/or reducing the energy intensity of processes (e.g., recycling). If we need to manufacture more modules prior to 2050 (e.g., short lived modules requiring replacements), then we increase energy demands while the grid is not fully decarbonized.
 Energy savings from circular pathways is not sufficient to offset increased energy needs for manufacturing replacements. Long-lived modules reduce energy demands.



Energy Balance

Goal: Maximize EROI & Net Energy
 Energy Return on Investment (EROI) is energy generated over energy demands, representing energy returned to society.
 We propose Energy Balance; all energy generated by all systems deployed 2000 through 2100 divided by all energy demands of those systems.
 Energy Balance is increased by increasing lifetime.



Conclusions

	PV ICE Baseline	Perfect Longevity	SHI	TOPCon	Perfect Circularity	High Circularity	Cheap Crap
Virgin Material Demand	0	+	-	-	++	-	-
Lifecycle Wastes	0	+	-	-	++	-	-
Replacements	0	++	-	-	-	-	-
Net Energy	0	++	++	++	++	+	-
Energy Balance	0	+	-	-	-	-	-

Benefit vs Detriment

- Examine all metrics for holistic sustainability evaluation
- Take Aways:
 - Importance of deploying high reliability modules
 - Designs can have tradeoffs between mass and energy
 - Circularity scores well in mass, poorly in energy
 - Efficiency scores well in Net Energy, poorly in mass
 - Longevity shows improvement in all metrics

Future Metrics: Carbon, Energy Justice, Supply Chains...