

Measuring Sustainability of Solar Modules for **Energy Transition: Mass, Energy, and Circularity**

Heather Mirletz^{1,2}, Silvana Ovaitt², Macarena Mendez Ribo², Seetharaman Sridhar^{1,2,3}, Teresa Barnes²

1.Advanced Energy Systems Graduate Program Colorado School of Mines, Golden CO

2.National Renewable Energy Lab, Golden CO

3.Ira A. Fulton Schools of Engineering, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ

Common Sustainability Proposals for Photovoltaics

These are the most common sustainability proposals for photovoltaic modules (PV): Maximize Lifetime, Maximize Efficiency, Maximize Recycling. But which is really the most

sustainable choice?

Longevity

Circularity Recycle Si Mid eff

Low Quality

PV ICE Tool https://github.com/ NREL/PV ICE . PV specific Circular Economy paths · Multimodal End of Life Geospatial capable **Baseline** as usual

Baseline dynamic material composition capturing historical module evolutions Energy Demands by Componen Baseline dynamic energy demand capturing historical changes in process energy intensities

How do we measure impact of circular choices for PV in the context of Energy Transition?



Effective Capacity and Replacements


Top priority: Build and Maintain PV Capacity for Energy Transition Goal: Minimize Replacements


Effective Capacity = installs - degradation - failures - project lifetime; represents capacity available to generate energy.

Replacements will be required before 2100, increasing annual manufacturing. Quantity of replacements depends on lifetime. Short lived modules significantly decrease effective capacity and therefore increase required replacements.

Effective Capacity with no Replacements Degradation







Cumulative Installations including Replacements




Raw Material Demand

Goal: Minimize Extraction of Virgin Materials Material extraction and refinement entails

energy and environmental impacts. These can be minimized through increasing recycling rates or increasing lifetime. Currently, there is no closed-loop recycling for c-Si PV modules, and only the CdTe semiconductor is closed-loop in thin film technology.

> Maximize Mass Circularity >95% OR increase Lifetime Currently no modules >90% closed-loop, even CdTe






Lifecycle Wastes

Goal: Minimize Wastes throughout PV lifecycle Wastes are generated during refinement and manufacturing as well as at end of life. This means more replacements manufacturing generates more manufacturing wastes. For long lived modules, end of life wastes will occur after 2050, therefore Long life provides "grace period" to develop circular supply chains.

> Maximize Mass Circularity >90% **OR Increase Lifetime**






Energy Demands

Energy demands can be reduced by reducing the quantity of manufacturing and/or reducing the energy intensity of processes (e.g.; recycling). If we need to manufacture more modules prior to 2050 (e.g.; short lived modules requiring replacements), then we

increase energy demands while the grid

Energy savings from circular pathways is not sufficient to offset increased energy needs for manufacturing replacements. Long-lived modules reduce energy demands.

is not fully decarbonized.




Energy savings of circular paths

Energy Balance

Goal: Maximize EROI & Net Energy Energy Return on Investment (EROI) is energy generated over energy demands, representing energy returned to society.

We propose Energy Balance; all energy generated by all systems deployed 2000 through 2100 divided by all energy demands of those systems.

Energy Balance is increased by increasing lifetime.



 $\sum_{2000,2100}^{All\ Systems} E_{out}$

Lifetime increases **Energy Balance**



- Take Aways:
- · Importance of deploying high reliability modules
- Designs can have tradeoffs between mass and energy
- Circularity scores well in mass, poorly in energy · Efficiency scores well in Net Energy, poorly in mass
- · Longevity shows improvement in all metrics

References

fol: <u>10.1002/pip.2558</u>. P. Ravikumur, P. Sinha, T. P. Seager, and M. P. Fraser, "An anticipatory approach to quantify energetics of rec "Recommended in the American Comments of Applications, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 735–746, 2016, doi: <u>https://</u>

Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Solar Energy Technologies Office. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-uc irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes