

# Subhourly Clipping Correction Model Comparison

Matthew Prilliman<sup>1</sup>, Janine Keith<sup>1</sup>, William Hobbs<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, CO, 80401, USA; <sup>2</sup>Southern Company, Atlanta, GA, 30308

ILR

1

1.10

1.19

1.29

1.39

1.49

1.58

1.68

1.78

1.88

1.97

2.00

Hourly

0.00%

0.01%

0.37%

2.00%

4.69%

7.85%

11.23%

14.63%

18.00%

21.28%

24.44%

25.26%

200

150

50 Clipping l

0

-Allen

-50

-100

s 100

Difference to 1-minute

~

Allen

0.04%

0.10%

0.64%

2.59%

5.65%

9.20%

12.91%

16.58%

20.13%

23.53%

26.75%

27.57%





This work compares the Allen method and Walker method of accounting for subhourly inverter clipping power losses in hourly PV performance models. The Allen method uses a matrix lookup based on DNI clearness and clipping potential to assign a clipping correction loss at each simulation timestep. The Walker method models the PV DC power input to the inverter as a distribution over the hourly timestep and uses integration over the timestep to determine the amount of clipping that occurs within the timestep. Both these models have been recently implemented in the System Advisor Model's (SAM) open-source code and are applied to hourly SURFRAD datasets to analyze the subhourly clipping loss predicted by each model for different system designs and inverter loading conditions. Both models are compared to "true" 1-minute SURFRAD data simulations to see their accuracy against more accurate 1-minute clipping correction loss predictions. This model comparisons will be investigated in more detail in an oral presentation at the PVSC conference in Seattle, Washington June 2024.

## Allen Method

· Allen method: Lookup matrix of clipping correction factors scaled with nominal annual energy output Matrix made through empirical methods, correlated with DNI clearness index and Clipping Potential:

$$\gamma_{DNI} = \frac{DNI}{DNI_{DryClean}}$$

$$CP = \frac{P_{dc,DryClean} - P_{ac,0}}{P_{ac,0}}$$



# Walker Method

- · Walker method: Models power output on distribution. integrates under inverter capacity to correct for power over capacity limit
- Models theoretical solar maximum based on clear-sky data. based on atmospheric thickness and ratio of minimum maximum
- Currently available through PySAM, HOMER ™ software

$$P_{solar} = P_{solar,min} + (P_{solar,max} - P_{solar,min}) * (1 - (\frac{t}{T})^{\frac{CF}{CF-1}})$$

$$CF = \frac{P_{solar} - P_{solar,min}}{P_{solar,max} - P_{solar,min}}$$

$$t_{lm} = Te^{\left[\frac{\ln(1-\frac{L-P_{solar,min}}{P_{solar,max}}-P_{solar,min})}{\frac{CF}{CF-1}}\right]}$$



Fraction of Time Step

### Methodology

- · Use System Advisor Model (SAM) and PySAM (Python wrapper for SAM source code) to calculate subhourly clipping loss for hourly SURFRAD resource data
- Compare results to "true" results calculated from 1-minute SURFRAD Data
- Sweep across inverter loading ratios (ILR), different resource locations from SURFRAD, other data resources
- Investigate trends in model behavior in annual energy, clipping loss results

#### **Conclusions / Discussion**

- Both models accurate to within 4% of 1-minute results at high ILR
  - Large improvement over typical hourly clipping
  - · Different modeling approaches results primarily in different curve shapes
  - · How do you account for subhourly clipping in hourly PV performance models?
  - · Are there other modeling approaches you would like to see in SAM or other software tools?



0.01%

0.07%

0.61%

2.44%

5.28%

8.58%

Walker

0.00%

0.01%

0.46%

2.54%

5.73%

9.25%

12.88%

16.44%

19.92%

23.28%

26.49%

27.32%





Boulder, CO



#### **Desert Rock, NV**



### References

[1] J.O. Allen, (2022). "Improved PV Plant Energy Production (Phases 1 and 2): The Effect of Short-term Inverter Saturation on PV Performance Modeling". EPRI Technical Report 3002018708. EPRI, Palo Alto, CA. https://www.epri.com/research/products/0000000002018708. 2) Walker & Desai J & McDonald B (2020) Solar Photovoltaic Systems Time-Series Simulation: Subinterval Distribution vs. Steady-State Assumption https://www.nel.gov/docs/fv20ost//5859.pdf

13 Anderson, Kevin and Kristen Perry. 2020. Estimating Subhourly Inverter Clipping Loss From Satellibererid Instance Data Preprint, Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory. NREL/CP-5K00-76021 https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fv20osti/76021.pdf

https://www.itel.gov/uccary.coser.rooz.rooz. [5] PySAM Version 4.2.0. National Renewable Energy Laboratory. Golden, CO. Accessed January 17, 2024. github.com/nrel/pysam.

[6] King, D.L.; Gonzalez, S.; Galbraith, G.M.; and Boyson, W.E. (2007). Performance Model for Grid Connected Inverters. 47 pp.; Sandia Report No. 2007-5036.
[7] Augustine, J.A., J. J. DeLuisi, and C. N. Long, 2000: SURFRAD-A National Surface Radiation Budget Network for Atmospheric Research, Bull. of Amer. Met. Soc. Vol. 81, No. 10, pp. 2341-2358

This work was authored in part by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08G028308. Funding provided by the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Energy efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE) under the Solar Energy Technologies Office Award Number DE-EE0008168. The views expressed in the article do noise necessarily represent the views of the DOF or the U.S. Government, The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes

Normalized Power