



ANODE UPCYCLING VIA TAILORED SOLVENT TREATMENT



KAE E. FINK

National Renewable Energy Laboratory

MAX SCHULZE, NICK MCKALIP, ANKIT VERMA, ZACH BERQUIST, JOHN MANGUM, ANDREW COLCLASURE, SEOUNG-BUM SON, BRIAN INGRAM



US Provisional Patent Application No. 63/561,827 (2024)

245th ECS Meeting San Francisco, CA May 28, 2024 NREL/PR-5700-89971

DIRECT RECYCLING OF LI-ION BATTERIES

- Burgeoning demand for Li-ion batteries induces supply chain instability and raises concerns regarding end-of-life disposal.
- <u>DOE goal</u>: "Reduce the cost of electric vehicle battery packs to <\$150/kWh with technologies that significantly reduce or eliminate dependency on critical materials and utilize recycled material feedstocks"





Direct recycling retains the engineered value of battery materials and minimizes processing steps. *To date, direct recycling efforts have primarily focused on the high-value cathode material.*

ANODE UPCYCLING: MOTIVATION & GOALS

~10-15% of the cyclable Li in a virgin cell is irreversibly consumed during formation (i.e., growing the anode SEI).

Graphite	Q _{rev} (mAh/g)	ICL (%)	ICL (mAh/g)
SL20	370	9.2	75
MAG-10	328	8.6	62
GDR6	340	13.3	108

Reversible capacity (Q_{rev}) and irreversible capacity loss (ICL) during formation for three types of graphite.

Additionally, formation can take days to weeks, necessitating **larger facilities**, consuming **energy**, and producing **GHG emissions**.



Shim, J. et al., *J. Power Sources* 119-121 (2003): 934-937 Liu, Y. et al., *iScience* 24 (2021): 102332



V.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES OFFICE In traditional pyro and hydro recycling processes, Gr is typically pyrolyzed or serves as a simple reductant.

We are developing methods to obtain a high-performing upcycled anode to reduce/eliminate costly, energy-intensive, and time-intensive formation protocols and reduce cathode lithiation requirements.

A successfully upcycled anode could offer **major value to cell manufacturers** beyond just the value of pristine graphite.



Goal: Use tailored solvents to selectively surface-purify Gr anode, retaining beneficial SEI species while reducing resistance.

WHY FOCUS ON ANODE SURFACE TREATMENT?



- Bulk graphite structure largely reported to remain intact, even after repeated cycling
- Gr anode recovered at end of life shows drastically higher resistance than pristine Gr, attributable to the evolution of a resistive SEI layer
- This reduces rate performance and reversible capacity by blocking Li intercalation pathways

OVERVIEW OF PROCESS WORKFLOW





METHODS: SOLVENT TREATMENT AND REPRINTING

EoL Graphite Electrode* 93% graphite3.5% carbon3.5% PVdF binder

1. Mechanically liberate graphite (delaminate)

Energy Efficiency &

EoL Graphite powder/flake + carbon-binder domain (CBD)





2. Solvent treatment:

- 1g EoL Gr+CBD powder +10 mL solvent(s)
- Bath sonicate for 60 minutes
- Stirred at ambient temp. ~18h
- Centrifuge mixture, decant supernatant
- Rinse 2x more with 10 mL solvent, centrifuge, decant supernatant
- Vacuum dry treated graphite at 80°C

3. Formulate slurry and print:

- Add NMP to treated Gr+CBD
- Planetary mix
- Print onto Cu with blade coater
- Vacuum dry at 80°C, 12h





CHALLENGES WITH RECASTABILITY IN NMP

• This upcycling approach requires *at minimum* resuspension in NMP to recast

VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES OFFI

- Attempt: Re-cast mechanically liberated powders to serve as a "cycled baseline"
- <u>Result</u>: EoL slurry gelled egregiously and was unprintable without supplemental preprocessing (*grinding*)





RATIONAL SOLVENT SELECTION FOR ANODE UPCYCLING

Ideal solvent candidate will retain desirable SEI components while allowing viable electrode printing.



Nonpolar (e.g., hexane)

- Non-polar
- Will wash away highly non-polar SEI species



RATIONAL SOLVENT SELECTION FOR ANODE UPCYCLING

Ideal solvent candidate will retain desirable SEI components while allowing viable electrode printing.



VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES OFFICE

Energy Efficiency 8

METHODS: ELECTROCHEMICAL TESTING

- We have developed a symmetric cell protocol to probe electrochemical behavior
- Symmetric cell format minimizes artificial disruptions to the SEI (infinite Li in half cells or cross-talk in full cells)
- Symmetric cell data is used to identify electrochemical signals tied to SEI stability





ELECTROCHEMICAL PERFORMANCE: IMPEDANCE



Distribution of Relaxation Times (DRT):

- Visualize impedance data in time domain
- Useful to estimate number of R-CPE pairs required for physically meaningful ECM analysis

Sample	$R_{contact} (\Omega-cm^2)$	R _{SEI} (Ω-cm²)	R _{cT} (Ω-cm²)
Pristine	1.87 ± 0.16	20.99 ± 7.95	6.66 ± 3.12
Water	3.82 ± 0.75	20.36 ± 1.30	10.00 ± 2.10
MeOH	3.10 ± 0.33	26.68 ± 11.12	14.50 ± 4.14
EtOH	2.82 ± 1.01	36.28 ± 7.60	5.24 ± 1.11
IPA	2.79 ± 0.35	52.75 ± 15.79	10.08 ± 5.18
EOL Gr	-	-	-



V.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES OFFICE 3 R-CPE elements selected for equivalent circuit



ELECTROCHEMICAL PERFORMANCE: CAPACITY & RATE



ACCOUNTING FOR A HEAVIER UPCYCLED ANODE



TGA indicates sample mass loss during controlled temperature ramp due to reaction/offgassing
Mass loss below PVdF combustion temperature (~475 °C) predominated by reaction of SEI species
Higher early mass loss = more remaining SEI



- Conventional mass-based capacity accounting penalizes anodes with a pre-formed SEI
- TGA results yield % Gr = more accurate mass value for normalization



Normalization by nominal Gr % (not accounting for SEI mass)



There are no changes in capacity trends simply due to adjusting the normalization approach.

IDENTIFYING RELEVANT MASS-AGNOSTIC METRICS



Cumulative irreversible capacity loss during symmetric cell formation (% of initial discharge capacity)



Loss in cyclable discharge capacity during symmetric cell formation (% of initial discharge capacity)

Mass-agnostic metrics reveal nuanced impact of treatment solvent on electrochemical performance.

- Best-performer from capacity perspective (water) shows greater formation losses than MeOH and EtOH
- IPA shows both lower capacity and greater formation losses
- **MeOH** shows higher voltage gap but lower formation losses

 Voltage gap quantifies hysteresis, which reflects kinetic & transport limitations at varying cycling rates



Reported values reflect average of middle half of data

SUMMARY OF ELECTROCHEMICAL METRICS



PHYSICO-CHEMICAL ANALYSIS & METRICS

- Robust physico-chemical analysis is critical to informing how solvent treatment alters the EoL graphite.
 - What SEI species are removed?
 - What SEI species are retained?
 - How does the treatment process influence graphite surface chemistry, morphology, and structure?
 - What is the optimal outcome from the perspective of electrochemical performance?
- Characterization signals offer additional metrics with which to judge upcycling quality & success.



UPCYCLING EFFECTS: STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS

Frequency 12 10





• First-order Raman spectra (~1000-2000 cm⁻¹) consist of *G-band* (E_{2g} mode of sp² carbon network) & *D-band* (associated w/ defects)

• Ratio of integrated intensities (I_D/I_G) is standard metric for evaluating defect quantity and degree of disorder in graphitic materials, with higher I_D/I_G = greater number of defect sites

• Raman *mapping* (vs just single point scan) enables construction of I_D/I_G histograms – statistical distribution

- All upcycled samples lie between pristine and EoL cycled samples in terms of both I_D/I_G and distribution width.
- This indicates (1) Solvent treatment cannot remove all surface defects; (2) treatment does not destroy Gr structure

I_D/I_G increases roughly as: IPA < EtOH < MeOH < H_2O

UPCYCLING EFFECTS: STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS



- Weaker graphene/graphene interactions enables more facile exfoliation; and/or
- Solvent intercalation during processing driving exfoliation

 $\mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{c}} = \frac{0.9\lambda}{(\beta_{004}\cos(\theta_{004}))};$

 $\cos(\theta_{100})$

Energy Efficiency &

• Smaller crystallite size may contribute to higher irreversible losses

UPCYCLING EFFECTS: CHEMICAL ANALYSIS



X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) suggests composition of SEI species remaining on surface following treatment
Greater predominance of PVDF-associated peaks (CF₂, CHF) indicates "more" SEI removed (visibility of underlying binder)

All solvents tested remove all LiF and Li₂O



VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES OFFIC

Removal of (fluoro)phosphates increases as IPA < EtOH < $MeOH < H_2O$

LINEAR CORRELATION ANALYSIS

Solvent properties vs electrochemical & physico-chemical signatures



LINEAR CORRELATION ANALYSIS

Solvent properties vs electrochemical & physico-chemical signatures

STRONGLY POSITIVE CORRELATIONS:

Wash solvent polarity & dielectric constant vs

- Half-cell capacity
- Symmetric cell reversible capacity
- $\rm I_D$ / $\rm I_G$ ratio

Wash solvent pKa vs

- $Li_xPO_yF_z$ area

STRONGLY NEGATIVE CORRELATIONS:

Wash solvent polarity & dielectric constant vs - sp² / sp³ carbon ratio - Li_xPO_vF_z area

MODERATELY NEGATIVE CORRELATIONS:

Wash solvent pKa vs

- $\rm I_{\rm D}$ / $\rm I_{\rm G}$ ratio
- Half-cell capacity
- Symmetric cell reversible capacity

• **Higher polarity**, **higher dielectric constant**, and **lower pKa** values for wash solvents improve capacity of upcycled material.

- These wash solvents produce upcycled material with lower sp² carbon content, reduced Li_xPO_yF_z content, and a higher I_D / I_G ratio.
- Half cell & reversible symmetric cell capacity appear to correlate most strongly with both solvent properties and physico-chemical metrics for initial set of single-solvent treatments



SUMMARY

Retaining & "refurbishing" the SEI on EOL anodes offers a unique opportunity to recover a value-added Gr product.

- We demonstrate *selective* removal of classes of SEI species while retaining others by tuning solvent properties
- As-recovered EoL Gr cannot be directly recast
 - Grinding disrupts distribution of SEI species and may alter residual carbonate structure
 - NMP exposure during resuspension appears to induce reactions with cyclic carbonates, polymeric SEI, & Li₂CO₃
- A rational series of alcohol solvents have been evaluated to probe structure-property-performance relationships
- Identified mass-agnostic metrics (formation capacity loss, voltage gap) as critical indicators of performance
- Higher polarity, higher dielectric constant, & lower pKa wash solvents improve capacity of upcycled material
- These treatments produce anodes w/ lower sp² carbon content, reduced Li_xPO_yF_z content, and higher I_D / I_G ratio



ADDITIONAL ONGOING WORK

• We are conducting formation, voltage-hold, and cycle-life studies in full cells.

- Determine performance of upcycled anode under practically relevant conditions

• We have expanded beyond single-solvent systems.

- Applying learnings from initial alcohol-series study to select additional "active" & background solvents
- Evaluating concentration & background matrix effects
- We are implementing a more robust data-driven correlation analysis approach.
 - Adapting decision-tree model framework
 - Streamline solvent screening & characterization approach
 - Offer predictivity for new solvent systems
- We are conducting post-mortem analysis to determine "SEI regrowth" behavior.
 - Performance depends on both what residual SEI remains and what SEI subsequently regrows
- We have collaboratively conducted technoeconomic & sensitivity analysis.
 - Quantify added value of upcycled anode (vs recycled Gr)
 - Identify target process parameters for greatest cost reduction opportunities

• We are evaluating this approach on additional EOL Gr anode materials to determine bounds of applicability.





Thank you! Questions? Kae.Fink@nrel.gov

www.nrel.gov

NREL/PR-5700-89971

US Provisional Patent Application No. 63/561,827 (2024)

M.C. Schulze, N. McKalip, A. Verma, Z. Berquist, S.-B. Son, B. Ingram, A. Colclasure, K. Fink, Facile solvent treatments enable the direct recycling and reuse of graphite from end-of-life Li-ion batteries, *in preparation*

This work was authored by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. This research is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy's Vehicle Technologies Office through the ReCell Consortium, overseen by DOE Program Manager Brian Cunningham and technology managers Jake Herb and Tina Chen, ReCell Center Director Jeffrey Spangenberger, and ReCell Center Deputy Director Bryant Polzin. The views expressed in this presentation do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.



AS-RECEIVED MATERIAL PROPERTIES

Parameter	Cathode	Anode
Chemistry	NMC-622	Artificial Graphite
Binder System	PVDF/NMP	PVDF/NMP
Substrate Areal Mass (mg/cm ²)	4.05	8.96
Active Material Proportion (wt%)	96	93
Areal Loading Single Sided (mg/cm ²)	23.1	14.0
Areal Capacity Single Side(mAh/cm ²)	3.96	4.58
1st Cycle Efficiency (%)	88	94
Typical Half Cell Voltage (V vs. Li/Li ⁺)	2.5 - 4.35	0.01 - 2.00
Current Collector Thickness	not available	11 um



DOWNSELECTION OF TREATMENT SOLVENTS

- A set of 6 solvents spanning a variety of chemical properties (polarity, proticity, molecular size) were initially surveyed.
- Of 6 initial solvents, 4 promising high-polarity protic solvents (Solvents 1-4) were downselected for further detailed study.



Energy Efficiency &

Round 1	R _{SEI} (Ω-cm²)	R _{cτ} (Ω-cm²)
Pristine	1.96 ± 0.87	17.41 ± 2.92
Water	8.77 ± 2.83	31.92 ± 2.29
Methanol	1.54 ±1.07	30.36 ± 2.23
Ethanol	2.12 ± 0.45	37.52 ± 1.03
Isopropanol	4.85 ± 0.34	36.79 ± 2.61

Hexane and acetone showed highest impedance in the initial screen.





IMPEDANCE: ECM FITS



IMPROVING PHYSICALLY MEANINGFUL EIS DATA Work in progress to improve usefulness of EIS data & ECM fits



- New approach to collecting impedance data should yield "truer" values of SEI resistance: minimizes convolution introduced by additional SEI grown on the lithiating electrode during the C/20 cycle.
- Impedance will be measured both before and after C/10 formation cycles.

VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES OFFICE

• Separate half cells will be constructed to obtain C/20 data required for P2D model validation.

UPCYCLING EFFECTS: STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS

- Anode powder + 20 wt% Si internal standard (325 mesh; 99% metals basis); triplicate samples
- High-resolution scans collected from 42–48° 2θ and 53.75–55.25° 2θ (0.001° step interval; 0.1° min⁻¹)
- 2θ peak positions corrected based on Si standard location



- Pseudo-Voight fits: deconvolution into minimum number of peaks (2-3) for acceptable R-factor fit quality¹
- Each deconvoluted peak analyzed separately
- d_(00/) and crystallite size calculated as weighted average of the based on relative intensities of calculated constituent peaks:²

$$d(004) = X_i = \frac{I_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n I_i}$$

- Interplanar spacing d₍₀₀₄₎ calculated according to Bragg's Law: $d_{(004)} = \frac{n\lambda}{2\sin(\theta)} \text{ with } \lambda = 1.5406 \text{ Å and } \frac{n}{n} = 1$
- Crystallite height (L_c) calculated by applying Scherrer's equation to the [004] peak:³

$$L_{c} = \frac{0.9\lambda}{(\beta_{004}\cos(\theta_{004}))}$$

• Crystallite height (L_a) calculated by applying Scherrer's equation to the [100] peak: ³

$$= \frac{0.9\lambda}{(\beta_{100}\cos(\theta_{100}))}$$

• $\beta_{(hkl)}$ = FWHM of the constituent peak; K (shape factor) = 0.9

(1) Iwashita et al., Carbon N Y 42, 701–714 (2004); (2) Zou et al., Mater Chem Phys 82, 654–662 (2003); (3) Popova et al., Coke and Chemistry 60, 361–365 (2017). NREL | 31



NREL | 32

UPCYCLING EFFECTS: CHEMICAL ANALYSIS



- Glow discharge optical emission spectroscopy (GDOES) is an analytical tool that provides *elemental analysis* concurrent with *depth profiling* in solid materials, such that depth-resolved composition can be determined.
 - The Y-axis value is a normalized signal; quantification requires a standard sample with known elemental ratios
 - The observed fluctuations at ~2 mins and ~26 mins are attributed to the abrupt emission of a large number of particles during the electrode sputtering process.
 - GDOES analysis suggests that <u>*TM ions*</u> may be present at within the EoL cycled anodes, and that such TM ions are present preferentially at the surface of the graphite. Such TM ions were not observed through elemental analysis in any of the upcycled samples.
 - The depth resolution of GDOES offers complementary information to traditional surface-sensitive XPS methods. Further co-development and optimization of GDOES techniques for this material will be pursued with the Post-Test Facility in FY24.



MORE ALTERNATIVE (USEFUL) CORRELATIONS Physico-chemical signatures vs electrochemical performance





SENSITIVITY OF PROCESS COST CONTRIBUTIONS

Impacts of Varying Process Parameters on Capital Costs

Energy Efficiency

VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES OFFICI

Re

ADVANCED BATTERY RECYCLING

e

Trendlines shown for visual guide only; note x-axis scales are not always linear.



SENSITIVITY OF "ADDED VALUE" FACTOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Impacts of Varying Performance/Recovery Metrics on Added Value

Trendlines shown for visual guide. Note varying y-axis scales.

In all cases, additional cost savings reflect the added economic benefit associated with utilizing an upcycled anode product.

- Performance metrics associated with the upcycled anode that add value include reducing formation time, reducing formation energy, and reducing excess Li in the cathode
- The recovery metric captures the added value provided by recovering a battery-grade electrode composite material, rather than a low-value graphite product
- The cost savings impact of the four primary "added value" streams associated with the upcycled anode product follows as:

Recovery of Electrode Product ~ Reduction in Excess Li > Reduction in Formation Time > **Reduction in Formation Energy**

• Formation time reduction only influences capital expenses (equipment, facility size) • Reducing formation energy offers electricity cost savings + GHG emission reduction benefit



PARAMETER TUNING: "BREAK-EVEN" SCENARIOS Example Break-even Scenarios (Cost = Added Value) for Solvent 2

Lixample Break-even Scenarios (Cost – Added Valde) for Solver

- Break-even scenarios demonstrate combinations of process parameters and added value realized by cell manufacturers that result in a "break-even" point (i.e., costs = added value)
- All break-even scenarios are reported for Solvent 2:
 Shows promising technical results (reduced resistance, relatively high symmetric cell efficiency = reduced Li loss)
 More expensive than Solvent 1
- Various combinations of process parameter adjustments to reduce costs + improved product performance to increase value result in the breakeven condition
- Increasing the added value i.e., improving technical performance of anode can counteract higher process costs
- In all cases shown, reducing the number of supplemental washes (less than 3) supports achieving breakeven condition
- Reducing # of sonication steps reduces # of sonicator units purchased, presuming continuous operation
- Note: Identifying cheaper alternative process intensification methods with lower cost would achieve same outcome



ENERGY Energy Efficient Renewable Energy VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES OFFIC



SENSITIVITY OF PROCESS COST CONTRIBUTIONS

Impacts of Varying Process Parameters on Annual Operating Costs Trendlines shown for visual guide only; note x-axis scales are not always linear.



SENSITIVITY OF SINGLE-SOLVENT CHOICE Impacts of Varying Solvent Identity on Process Costs (Base Case Process Parameters)





SENSITIVITY OF SELECTED "BASE CASE" PARAMETERS

- Sensitivity analysis conducted using "Base-Case" scenario parameters and varying three assumed parameters (see <u>Slide 5</u>)
- Net profit/loss is not highly sensitive to assumed values of formation energy use or excess Li in virgin cathode
- Net profit/loss is strongly sensitive to assumed value of battery-grade graphite price, which is known to be highly volatile (*see inset*)

VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES OFFICE



since 2021

Monthly total value and average price of US battery carbons imports

Average price of imports (\$/metric ton)

10.000

9,000 8,000

7,000

5,000 4.000

3.000

otal value of imports (\$M