

Development of a Light-Trapping, Planar-Cavity Receiver for Enclosed Solar Particle Heating



## ASME ES 2024

18<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Energy Sustainability

CONFERENCE: JULY 15–17, 2024 HILTON ANAHEIM ANAHEIM, CA

Zhiwen Ma, Janna Martinek | National Renewable Energy Laboratory

## Outlines

- Principle of light trapping planar-cavity receiver (LTPCR) modeling the receiver flux distribution.
- Comparison of LTPCR vs. other receiver configurations.
- LTPCR receiver development:
  - Validation light trapping
  - Thermal performance modeling
  - Particle heat transfer
  - Prototype testing
  - 50 MWt commercial Design

#### Summary

390-MWe Ivanpah Solar Electric Generating Plants





110-MWe Crescent Dunes Solar Plant with 10-hour Thermal Energy Storage

#### LTPCR Technical Objectives and Targets

#### **Light Trapping Receiver:**

- Use planar-cavity to capture and spread incident flux on receiver panel for high-temperature highperformance enclosed particle or gas media.
- 2. Enable solar thermochemical processes for fuel/chemicals and inexpensive non-black particles for low-cost thermal energy storage.
- 3. Demonstrate the LTPCR design through modeling, laboratory and on-sun testing.



10 years, multiple attempts to evolve the concept, Patent #10,422,552 B2 (2019).

## Motivation: Inadequate Conventional Receiver Designs



#### Four general receiver design configurations

#### **Needs of Receiver Designs:**

- High-temperature, high performance operation to support Generation 3 CSP and solar thermochemical processes.
- 2. Modular, scalability for various CSP-CST applications and scale of economics.
- Effective interaction with solar heliostat field for high solar concentration and minimum thermaloptical losses.
- 4. Low maintenance and 30-year service life.

Current receiver configurations unable to address the needs of next generation CST-CSP.

#### Advantages of Unique, Innovative LTPCR Design



LTPCR Innovations: Trap and spread solar flux, shield surfaces from ambient loss, relieve stress

#### Objectives of the LTPCR Solar Receiver Design

#### LTPCR enclosed particle receiver avoids:

- 1. Particle loss and potentially negative environmental impacts:
  - Particle loss and wind effects are expected to limit open-cavity size.
  - Open cavity is unable to accommodate controlled ambient conditions for chemical processes.
- 2. Moving parts of a rotating centrifugal receiver:
  - Complicate operational conditions and control.
  - Reliability and scalability issues are expected for large receivers.
- 3. Cavity windows limiting performance and scaleup:
  - Window fouling and optical loss, thermal loss from window cooling
  - Window size restriction on flux spillage and capacity scaleup.
  - Reliability concerns with stress and thermal shock.
- Solar receiver designs subject to environment, cost, capacity, performance constraints to be comprehensively considered.
- The LTPCR receiver design has potentials to support next generation CST-CSP.

#### Modeling, Testing, Product and Prototype Development



## Comprehensive Modeling of LTPCR Performance



MFIX modeling of particle heat transfer and ANSYS/Fluent for thermal performance Integrating optical-thermal modeling with particle heat transfer predicts receiver performance for design iteration and service life analysis.

- Whole panel heating avoids thermal stress due to front heating on a circular tube receiver.
- Panel design allows implementing stress mitigation measures.

Modeling tools are used for all aspects of design and analysis.

## Light Trapping Principle Modeled in SolTrace



Receiver capable of high solar flux is key to its efficiency, cost, and life.

## **On-Sun Testing Verification of Light Trapping Effect**

Ray tracing of the HFSF



Incoming

flux

Receiver

Leading edge



eight (m)



Modeled panel flux distributions

#### Panel length (m)



Heating model of receiver (natural convection, radiation, wall conduction)



Predicted temperature profiles



#### Consistent flux and temperature profiles NREL | 10

#### LTPCR HFSF model comparison

Flux, temperature distributions at west-side receiver surface, 100% open shutter/attenuator



Models capture general trends and location of hot spots, but overestimate temperatures

#### LTPCR SolTrace Modeling Results



Particle thermal energy storage has potentials in many applications.

#### Substantial Particle Flow and Heat Transfer Test



High particle/wall heat transfer coefficients were achieved.

## LTPCR Prototype Test Progress

#### LTPCR prototype is prepared at Kind Saud University (KSU) particle-CSP facility



Fabricated a 100kWt LTPCR prototype to be installed and tested at KSU in 2024.

## Configuration of a 50-MW Receiver in Gen3CSP

- Tested prototype receiver will support commercial receiver design verification.
- Cost analysis of the receiver assembly including periphery components and a wind tower shows that bare-erect cost <\$100/kWt.</li>
- 110-m prefabricated wind tower costs a fraction of field erected concrete or steel tower.
- Wind tower also support skip hoister and skips travel inside the tower



LTPCR receiver and Gen3CSP integration will inform CSP industry.

## LTPCR Development Summary



- Optical and thermal performance shows promising pathways to 90% receiver efficiency for 750°C particle exit temperature.
- Prove realizable mechanical reliability and 30-year service life.
- 100-kWt prototype development on path to test and meet the project goal.
- Commercial receiver cost <100 \$/kWt (50MWt receiver).</li>
- Particle/panel wall heat transfer >1000 W/m<sup>2</sup>-K.
- Multiple leading-edge protection strategies were implemented.
- Cost and performance support 50 MWt commercial receiver and Gen3CSP integration.



# Thank you!

Questions?

NREL/PR-5700-90501

Contact: zhiwen.ma@nrel.gov

SETO Program: David Haas, Vijaykumar Rajgopal, Matthew Bauer
NREL: Zhiwen Ma, Janna Martinek, M. Shah, S. Jeong, J. Hirschey, J. Gifford, H. Xin, J. Netter
Colorado School of Mines (CSM): K. Brewster, A. Laksana, G. Jackson
Purdue University: K. Appaswamy, C. Wedikkara, A. Morris
University of Central Florida (UCF): O. Abourazzouk, L. Li
University of Houston (UH): B. Xu, M. Farias
University of Tennessee, Knoxville (UTK): Y. Aider, P. Singh
King Sadu University (KSU): H. Al-Ansary, E. Djajadiwinata, N. Alabsi

This work was authored [in part] by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-O8GO28308. Funding provided by the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Solar Energy Technologies Office. The views expressed in the article do no necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

