





**Advanced Energy Partnership for Asia** 

## **Modeling the Least-Cost Operation of Bangladesh's Electricity Grid with Higher Levels of Renewable Energy**

Prateek Joshi, Thushara de Silva, Mohit Joshi, Gabriel Zuckerman, Katy Schneider, Nathan Lee, and Carishma Gokhale-Welch

National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL)

January 2025









AN INITIATIVE OF THE CLEAN ENERGY MINISTERIAL

**Advanced Energy Partnership for Asia** 

# Renewable Energy Zones Input Data

### Solar and Wind Resource Data



Spatial resolution: 2 kilometer by 2 kilometer Temporal resolution: 10 minutes Timespan: 2015-2019



Spatial resolution: 3 kilometer by 3 kilometer Temporal resolution: 15 minutes Timespan: 2014-2017

*Sources: Maclaurin et al. (2022); NREL (2023)*



<https://www.re-explorer.org/home>





Transforming ENERGY

#### Potential Candidate Zones



**Figure. Potential candidates for renewable energy zones (REZs) in Bangladesh compared to solar PV study areas**



**Figure. Potential candidates for renewable energy zones (REZs) in Bangladesh compared to wind study areas**

*Source: Joshi et al. (2023)*





4







AN INITIATIVE OF THE CLEAN ENERGY MINISTERIAL

**Advanced Energy Partnership for Asia** 

# Grid Integration Study Setup



AN INITIATIVE OF THE CLEAN ENERGY MINISTERIAL

- Production cost models (PCMs) can optimize the scheduling and dispatching of all power plants and can incorporate several constraints imposed by generation and transmission.
- PCMs can simulate the hourly or sub-hourly chronological power grid operation.
- During each simulated time interval, the model selects the least-cost mix of generators needed to meet the electricity demand while maintaining adequate reserve capacity.
- In PCMs, power grid transmission can be simulated in a more simplified way (zonal) and a more detailed way (nodal) – this study used a nodal model.
- Nodal representation is useful when focusing on interactions and tradeoffs among transmission, demand-side, and supply-side resources.
- When are transmission interactions important in power system modeling?
	- When you expect significant price differences driven by real-time constraints in transmission capacity.
	- When transmission congestion is expected to impact the physical "dispatchability" of power.





## Data Gaps and Recommendations

#### **Assumptions made for the generator fleet:**

Minimum up time



Minimum down time



Maximum ramp up rate



Maximum ramp down rate



Start-up cost



Heat rate (i.e., efficiency)

#### **Data Recommendations:**

Ensure consistent naming conventions.

Store and collect data in reproducible format.

Implement processes for regular data updates.

Collect additional data to support renewable energy analysis (see "assumptions made for the generator fleet")







## Study Regions and Scenarios





IEPMP = Bangladesh Integrated Energy and Power Master Plan 2023

*Source: Bangladesh Ministry of Power, Energy, and Mineral Resources (2023)*





- Assumed that approximately 5% of the electricity demand at each hour is held as reserves in the Baseline scenario and that approximately 6% of the electricity demand at each hour is held as reserves in the Current Grid and ATS30 scenarios.
- Assumed that the value of lost load (VOLL) is \$1,000/MW.
- Representation of reserves is consistent with what is outlined in the Bangladesh Advancing Development and Growth through Energy (BADGE) Program Report on Automatic Generation Control (AGC) for Power Grid Bangladesh.

*Source: USAID and PGCB (2024)*





# Case Study: Philippines

*The following results are from the Philippines' interconnected Grid Integration and Renewable Energy Zones (REZ) Studies:*

- 1. Achievability of RE goals with current transmission infrastructure.
- 2. Thermal power plant flexibility required to integrate RE.

3. Significance of curtailment of RE in grid operations.



**Figure. Key results from the Philippines' interconnected grid integration and renewable energy zones (REZ) studies**











AN INITIATIVE OF THE CLEAN ENERGY MINISTERIAL

**Advanced Energy Partnership for Asia** 

### Baseline Scenario Results

Demand: 62,678 GWh



*Demand and capacities are based on data for 2017-2018 provided by PGCB and data from BPDB's 2017-2018 annual report.*





## Baseline Scenario: Annual Generation

- The *Energy Limits* sensitivity is designed to align with the annual generation values reported in the BPDB 2017-2018 annual report.
- The *Optimum Generation* sensitivity removes the energy generation limits and results in the lowest cost dispatch profile.
- Compared to the BPDB 2017-2018 annual report, the *Optimum Generation* sensitivity results in higher coal, gas, and power imports, and lower hydro, HFO, and HSD.
- $\div$  The total annual generation values (GWh) across the Baseline scenarios remain the same.



#### **Figure. Annual electricity generation (GWh) by fuel for Baseline scenarios**





### Baseline Scenario: Hourly Generation

#### 12,000 Hourly Electricity Generation (MW)<br>  $\frac{8}{2}$ ,  $\frac{8}{2}$ ,  $\frac{8}{2}$ ,  $\frac{8}{2}$ <br>  $\frac{2}{2}$ ,  $\frac{2}{2}$ Solar Power Import **HSD HFO** Gas Hydro ■ Coal **Figure. Hourly**  1/1/2017 3/1/2017 4/1/2017  $5/1/201$ /2017 7/1/2017 8/1/2017 9/1/2017 10/1/2017 11/1/2017 12/1/2017 **electricity**  Baseline Scenario, Energy Limits Sensitivity **generation (MW)**  12,000 **by fuel for**  Hourly Electricity Generation (MW)<br>Hourly Electricity Generation (MW)<br>2,000<br>2,000 **Baseline scenarios**  $\Omega$ 1/1/2017 2/1/2017 3/1/2017 4/1/2017 5/1/2017 8/1/2017 10/1/2017 11/1/2017 12/1/2017 6/1/2017 7/1/2017 Baseline Scenario, Optimum Generation Sensitivity

#### **Advanced Energy Partnership for Asia**

❖ Compared to the *Energy Limits* sensitivity, the *Optimum Generation*  sensitivity sees a flatter dispatch of coal and hydro (i.e., acting as baseload sources of electricity).

 In the *Optimum Generation*  sensitivity, HFO and power imports are used to meet peak electricity demand.





Solar Power Import

**HSD HFO Gas Hydro** ■ Coal

#### Baseline Scenario: Peak Demand Day

#### **Advanced Energy Partnership for Asia**



**Figure. Hourly electricity generation (MW) by fuel for Baseline scenarios on peak demand day**

Power Import  $Hydro$ 

- ❖ Peak demand is on September 20<sup>th</sup> at 8:00pm (10,833 MW).
- ❖ Compared to the *Energy Limits* sensitivity, the *Optimum Generation*  sensitivity sees HFO ramping up (instead of both HSD and HFO ramping up) to meet the evening peak demand.

Baseline Scenario, Optimum Generation Sensitivity (Peak Demand Day: September 20th)





### Baseline Scenario: Operating Costs

- ❖ Annual operating costs drop from 3.2 billion USD in the *Energy Limits*  sensitivity to 2.3 billion USD in the *Optimum Generation* sensitivity (27% decrease).
- $\cdot$  This reduction in operating costs is primary due to the decline in HFO and HSD generation, which are more expensive compared to coal and gas.
- ❖ Currently, the Bangladesh grid is thus not operating in a cost-efficient manner using security-constrained economic dispatch principles.



**Figure. Annual operating costs (million USD) by fuel for Baseline scenarios**





## Baseline Scenario: Annual Emissions

- $\triangleleft$  Annual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions slightly increase from 59 million metric tons in the *Energy Limits* sensitivity to 63 million metric tons in the *Optimum Generation* sensitivity (7% increase).
- This small increase in emissions is due to the increase in coal and gas generation in a least-cost system, whose rise in emissions offset the drop in emissions due to a reduction in oil (HFO and HSD) generation.
- ❖ As Bangladesh incorporates more renewables into its electricity system, the emissions  $(CO_2, NO_x, and SO_x)$  will all drop. **Figure. Annual CO2 emissions (million metric tons) by fuel for Baseline scenarios**













AN INITIATIVE OF THE CLEAN ENERGY MINISTERIAL

**Advanced Energy Partnership for Asia** 

## Current Grid Scenario Results

#### Current Grid Scenario: Demand and Capacity Advanced Energy Partnership for Asia

Demand: 88,450 GWh



*Demand and capacities are based on data for 2018 provided by PGCB, data from BPDB's 2017-2018 annual report and 2022- 2023 annual report, and current capacity data from the Bangladesh Advancing Development and Growth (BADGE) program.*





## Current Grid Scenario: Annual Generation

- ❖ In the Current Grid scenario, generation increases for all technologies except for hydropower and imports, which remain the same as in the Baseline scenario.
- ❖ Renewable energy (solar, wind, and hydropower) accounts for only approximately 2% of annual generation in the Current Grid scenario.
- Compared to the BPDB Annual Report (2022-2023), coal, gas, and renewable energy generation increase while HFO, HSD, and imports decrease in the Current Grid scenario, which represents a leastcost dispatch of the grid.



**Figure. Annual electricity generation (GWh) by fuel for Baseline and Current Grid scenarios**





## Current Grid Scenario: Hourly Generation

#### 18,000  $\begin{array}{r}\n\begin{array}{r}\n\sum\n\\
\sum\n\\
\end{array}$  16,000<br>  $\underline{1}$  14,000<br>  $\underline{1}$  12,000<br>  $\underline{1}$  10,000<br>  $\end{array}$ Hourly Electricity 8,000 6,000 4,000 2,000  $\mathbf{0}$ 1/1/2017 2/1/2017 3/1/2017 4/1/2017 5/1/2017 7/1/2017 8/1/2017 9/1/2017 10/1/2017 11/1/2017 12/1/2017 6/1/2017 Baseline Scenario, Optimum Generation Sensitivity 18,000  $\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{c} \text{NSE} \\ \text{NSE} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \text{16,000} \\ \text{14,000} \\ \text{14,000} \\ \text{15,000} \\ \text{16,000} \\ \text{17,000} \\ \text{18,000} \\ \text{19,000} \\ \text{19,000} \\ \text{10,000} \\ \text{19,000} \end{array} \end{array}$

#### Solar Power Import  $HSD$  $HFO$  $\blacksquare$  Gas ■ Hydro Coal

**Figure. Hourly electricity generation (MW) by fuel for Baseline (top) and Current Grid (bottom) scenarios**

■ Wind Solar Power Import **BHSD**  $HFO$  $\square$  Gas  $Hydro$ ■ Coal

#### **Advanced Energy Partnership for Asia**

- ❖ In the Current Grid scenario, HFO, HSD, and imports meet peak demand, with their largest contribution in the summer months.
- **☆ Coal and** hydropower generation remain mostly flat throughout the year, and wind generation is higher in the summer months.



**Current Grid Scenario** 

6/1/2017

9/1/2017

8/1/2017

5/1/2017

4/1/2017

 $\Omega$ 1/1/2017

2/1/2017

3/1/2017



10/1/2017 11/1/2017 12/1/2017

### Current Grid Scenario: Peak Demand Day

#### **Advanced Energy Partnership for Asia**



- ❖ Daily demand in the Bangladesh grid peaks in the evening, between 6pm and 11pm.
- ❖ In the Current Grid scenario, this daily peak demand is met by a combination of HFO, HSD, and imports, while HSD is not a factor in the Baseline scenario.

Current Grid Scenario (Peak Demand Day: September 20th)





## Current Grid Scenario: Operating Costs

- ❖ Annual operating costs increase for the Current Grid scenario due to the higher electricity demand that needs to be met, and the subsequent increase in fossil fuel energy generation (i.e., an increase in coal, gas, HFO, and the addition of HSD to the dispatch mix).
- ❖ Compared to the Baseline scenario, the per unit energy cost (\$/MWh) increases in the Current Grid scenario from approximately \$36.83/MWh to approximately \$37.94/MWh. This increase in energy costs is driven by the increase in fossil fuel generation, as renewable energy generation remains low in the Current Grid



scenario. **Figure. Annual operating costs (million USD) by fuel for Baseline and Current Grid scenarios**





## Current Grid Scenario: Annual Emissions

- To meet the 41% increase in annual electricity demand from the Baseline scenario to the Current Grid scenario, annual  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions increase by 81%.
- $\div$  In addition to the increase in overall  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions, the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions per unit of electricity (kg/MWh) increases from approximately 1,005 kg/MWh in the Baseline scenario to approximately 1,285 kg/MWh in the Current Grid scenario.
- ❖ Per-unit emissions (kg/MWh) also increase for  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  and  $SO<sub>x</sub>$  for the Current Grid scenario compared to the Baseline scenario, attributed to the rise in coal power generation.



Figure. Annual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (million metric tons) by fuel for Baseline and Current **Grid scenarios**











AN INITIATIVE OF THE CLEAN ENERGY MINISTERIAL

**Advanced Energy Partnership for Asia** 

## ATS30 Scenario Results

### ATS30 Scenario: Demand and Capacity



*Demand and capacities are based on data for 2018 provided by PGCB, data from BPDB's 2017-2018 annual report, ATS30 targets from the IEPMP 2023, and demand data for the ATS In-Between Scenario from the IEPMP 2023.*





## ATS30 Scenario: Annual Generation

 $\cdot$  In the ATS30 scenario, annual solar PV generation is 3,783 GWh and annual wind generation is 1,907 GWh. Including hydropower, renewable energy accounts for 3% of electricity demand, only an increase of 1% from the Current Grid scenario.

- ❖ In the ATS30 scenario, the addition of nuclear energy to the Bangladesh grid contributes approximately 21,024 GWh (11%) of electricity annually.
- ❖ In the ATS30 scenario, coal generation decreases by approximately 29% compared to the Current Grid scenario as its electricity contribution is replaced with more imports, renewables, and natural gas.





## ATS30 Scenario: Hourly Generation









Gas

**Figure. Hourly** 

**electricity generation (MW) by fuel for Current** 

**Grid (top) and ATS30 (bottom) scenarios**

#### **Advanced Energy Partnership for Asia**

❖ Nuclear energy provides constant baseload power of 2,400 MW for every hour in the ATS30 scenario.

❖ In the ATS30 scenario, there is unserved energy of approximately 2,185 GWh (roughly 1.2% of annual electricity demand).

#### ATS30 Scenario: Peak Demand Day



Power Import **Figure. Hourly electricity ATS30 (bottom)** 

**generation (MW) by fuel for Current Grid (top) and scenarios on peak demand day**

Power Import

 $\div$  In the ATS30 scenario, solar PV and wind contribute to meeting peak electricity demand at midday.

❖ However, the electricity grid is not able to meet overall peak electricity demand in the ATS30 scenario due to insufficient transmission capacity.

ATS30 Scenario (Peak Demand Day: September 20th)





ransformina ENERGY

#### **Advanced Energy Partnership for Asia**

## ATS30 Scenario: Operating Costs

- The per unit energy cost (\$/MWh) in the ATS30 scenario is approximately \$37.52/MWh, which is a slight decrease compared to the Current Grid scenario and a slight increase compared to the Baseline scenario.
- **❖** The overall annual operating costs do not increase in proportion to the increase in electricity demand from the Current Grid scenario to the ATS30 scenario due to the addition of lower cost renewables such as solar PV and wind.



**Figure. Annual operating costs (million USD) by fuel for Current Grid and ATS30 scenarios**





## ATS30 Scenario: Annual Emissions

- $\cdot$  Despite the increase in overall CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions per unit of electricity (kg/MWh) decreases from approximately 1,285 kg/MWh in the Current Grid scenario to approximately 837 kg/MWh in the ATS30 scenario.
- The overall annual emissions do not increase in proportion to the increase in electricity demand from the Current Grid scenario to the ATS30 scenario due to the addition of zero-emissions resources such as solar PV, wind, and nuclear.



**Figure. Annual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (million metric tons) by fuel for Current Grid and ATS30 scenarios**







#### ATS30 Scenario: RE Contribution by Region

**421 MW (Ch)**

**Capacity Factor** 

 $0.153 - 0.2$ 

 $0.2 - 0.25$ 

 $0.25 - 0.3$  $0.3 - 0.35$  $0.35 - 0.364$ 



**Figure. Modeled solar PV capacity by region for ATS30 scenario**

**Figure. Modeled wind capacity by region for ATS30 scenario** 

**329 MW (B)**

*Solar PV and wind capacities are assigned to renewable energy zones based on regions with the least cost and according to available technical potential capacities, in order to meet the ATS30 targets. Locations for existing projects are also accounted for.*





#### ATS30 Scenario: Unserved Energy by Region



#### **Figure. Annual unserved energy for each region in Bangladesh in ATS30 scenario**

- ❖ The total annual unserved energy in Bangladesh is approximately 2,265 GWh in the ATS30 scenario due to insufficient transmission capacity.
- ❖ Most of the unserved energy occurs in the Dhaka region (63%), followed by the Chittagong region (32%).





#### ATS30 Scenario: Interregional Transmission Congestion

#### **Advanced Energy Partnership for Asia**



❖ Interregional transmission congestion is highest between the Rajshahi and Khulna regions (9,402 total hours for all interregional transmission lines combined), followed by the Sylhet-Comilla corridor (6,442 total hours) and the Dhaka-Comilla corridor (5,792 total hours).

 $\cdot$  Intraregional transmission congestion is highest within the Dhaka region, followed by the Khulna region and the Rajshahi region.









AN INITIATIVE OF THE CLEAN ENERGY MINISTERIAL

**Advanced Energy Partnership for Asia** 

# Key Takeaways

## Key Takeaways: Baseline Scenario



- $\checkmark$  A cost-optimal Baseline scenario dispatch for the Bangladesh grid results in higher coal, gas, and power imports and lower hydro, HFO, and HSD generation (with low levels of wind and solar in the system).
- $\checkmark$  A cost-optimal Baseline scenario dispatch for the Bangladesh grid results in a flatter generation profile for coal and hydro, which act as baseload sources of electricity.
- $\checkmark$  A cost-optimal Baseline scenario dispatch for the Bangladesh grid results in annual operating cost savings of approximately 0.9 billion USD compared to historical generation patterns.





## Key Takeaways: Current Grid Scenario



- $\checkmark$  A cost-optimal Current Grid scenario dispatch for the Bangladesh grid results in lower HFO and HSD generation and higher solar and wind generation compared to what is currently reported in the BPDB annual reports, suggesting that there is room for increased cost-effective integration of renewables in the immediate future (however, overall levels of renewable energy penetration remain low).
- $\checkmark$  The Current Grid scenario, with high levels of fossil fuels and low levels of renewables, results in higher per-unit costs of energy compared to the Baseline and ATS30 scenarios. This result suggests that increasing the deployment of renewables further could help bring down overall energy costs.



## Key Takeaways: ATS30 Scenario



- $\checkmark$  The total annual operating costs and emissions do not increase in proportion to the increase in electricity demand from the Current Grid scenario to the ATS30 scenario due to the addition of lower cost and cleaner renewables such as solar PV and wind.
- $\checkmark$  In the ATS30 scenario, there is unserved energy of approximately 2,185 GWh (roughly 1.2% of annual electricity demand) due to transmission congestion in particular regions. Unserved energy is highest in the Dhaka region.
- $\checkmark$  It could be feasible for Bangladesh to meet the ATS30 targets without any unserved energy with a modest expansion of transmission capacity; however, renewable energy only comprises approximately 3% of annual electricity generation in this scenario, which is still significantly lower than the targets set by the government. Thus, there is room to increase the buildout of renewables further.





## Renewable Energy Desk for Grid Operators



*Source: De Silva et al. (2024)*







## Grid Integration Study Workflow



*Source: Katz and Chernyakhovskiy (2020)*







# Thank you

[prateek.joshi@nrel.gov](mailto:prateek.joshi@nrel.gov)





#### **Advanced Energy Partnership for Asia**



AN INITIATIVE OF THE CLEAN ENERGY MINISTERIAL

This work was authored by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) under Contract No. IAG-19-2115 and the U.S. Department of State. The views expressed in this report do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government, or any agency thereof, including USAID. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.



### References

"Annual Report 2017-2018." Bangladesh Power Development Board (BPDB), 2018.

"Annual Report 2022-2023." Bangladesh Power Development Board (BPDB), 2023.

Bangladesh Ministry of Power, Energy and Mineral Resources, Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA), and The Institute of Energy Economics, Japan (IEEJ). "Integrated Energy and Power Master Plan (IEPMP) 2023." Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh, July 2023. [https://powerdivision.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/powerdivision.portal.gov.bd/page/4f81bf4d\\_1180\\_4c53\\_b27c\\_8fa0eb11e2c1/IEPMP%202023.pdf.](https://powerdivision.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/powerdivision.portal.gov.bd/page/4f81bf4d_1180_4c53_b27c_8fa0eb11e2c1/IEPMP%202023.pdf)

Barrows, Clayton, Jessica Katz, Jaquelin Cochran, Galen Maclaurin, Mark Christian Marollano, Mary Grace Gabis, Noriel Christopher Reyes, et al. "Solar and Wind Grid Integration Study for the Luzon-Visayas System of the Philippines." Technical Report. Greening the Grid. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) and United States Agency for International Development (USAID), January 2018. [https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy18osti/68594.pdf.](https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy18osti/68594.pdf)

De Silva, Thushara, Seong Lok Choi, and Adarsh Nagarajan. "An Overview of Renewable Energy Desk Activities for Power Grid Operations and Planning." National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), March 2024. [https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy24osti/88417.pdf.](https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy24osti/88417.pdf)

Hossain, Md. Imam, Nur E Alam, Sumaiya Akter, Umme Suriea, Salma Aktar, Siratul Kubra Shifat, Md. Muzahidul Islam, Ihsan Aziz, Md. Shariful Islam, and A.K.M. Mohiuddin. "Knowledge, Awareness and Preventive Practices of Dengue Outbreak in Bangladesh: A Countrywide Study." *PLOS ONE*, June 10, 2021. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0252852.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0252852)

Joshi, Prateek, Gabriel Zuckerman, Katy Waechter, Nathan Lee, and Carishma Gokhale-Welch. "Identifying Potential Candidates for Renewable Energy Zones (REZs) in Bangladesh." Presented at the Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology (BUET) International Energy Conference, Dhaka, Bangladesh, December 2023. [https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy24osti/88268.pdf.](https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy24osti/88268.pdf)

Katz, Jessica, and Ilya Chernyakhovskiy. "Variable Renewable Energy Grid Integration Studies: A Guidebook for Practitioners." USAID-NREL Partnership. Greening the Grid. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), January 2020. [https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy20osti/72143.pdf.](https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy20osti/72143.pdf)

Maclaurin, Galen, Manajit Sengupta, Aron Habte, Grant Buster, Evan Rosenlieb, Mike Bannister, Michael Rossol, et al. "Development and Validation of Southeast Asia Solar Resource Data." Presented at the USAID-NREL Advanced Energy Partnership for Asia, National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), January 2022. [https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy22osti/81799.pdf.](https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy22osti/81799.pdf)

National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL). "High-Resolution Southeast Asia Wind Resource Data Set." National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) and United States Agency for International Development, March 2023. [https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy23osti/85089.pdf.](https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy23osti/85089.pdf)

"Pre-Pilot of Automatic Generation Control (AGC) and Implementation Roadmap for Bangladesh Power System." USAID Bangladesh Advancing Development and Growth through Energy (BADGE). Dhaka, Bangladesh: United States Agency for International Development and Power Grid Company of Bangladesh (PGCB), April 2024.





#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank their colleagues at the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) Bangladesh Mission (Shayan Shafi and Kazi Ahsan Uddin) and the Bangladesh Advancing Development and Growth through Energy (BADGE) program (Sibbir Ahmed, Hillul Ahmed, Alam Hossain Mondal, Md. Abu Bakar Siddiq, and Ashraf Islam) for their support of this study and valuable insights. The authors would also like to thank the Bangladesh Power Development Board (BPDB) and Power Grid Bangladesh (PGCB) for their engagement throughout this study.







### **Disclaimer**

This analysis was prepared based on the best information available within the time constraints. The contents are not intended to be the sole basis of investment, policy, or regulatory decisions. The data was prepared with information available at the time the processing was conducted, and data could be different if new information becomes available. The content presented in this document and the views herein do not necessarily represent the views of or are endorsed by the U.S. Department of Energy or the U.S. Government, or any agency thereof, including USAID and the U.S. Department of State.





