$476/80$

• *I*

SERI/TP-332-599 UC CATEGORY: UC-59c, 61

CONF-800246--1

~ .

MASTER

BIOMASS GASIFICATION, YESTERDAY, TODAY, AND TOMORROW

T. B. REED

MARCH 1980

PRESENTED TO THE "ENERGY GENERATION . & CDGENERATION FROM WOOD" CONFERENCE OF THE FOREST PRODUCTS RESEARCH SOCIETY; ATLANTA, GA., FEBRUARY 18-20, 1980

PREPARED UNDER TASK No. 3356.40

Solar Energy Research Institute

1536 Cole Boulevard Golden, Colorado 80401

A Division of Midwest Research Institute

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. EG · **77·C·01·4042**

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Printed in the United States of America Available from: National Technical Information Service **U.S. Department of Commerce** 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161 Price:

Microfiche \$3.00 Printed Copy \$4.00

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Department of Energy, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

T. B. Reed Senior Scientist Solar Energy Research Institute Golden, Colo.

Abstract

 $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

The solid fuels, biomass and coal, can be converted by gasification into clean gaseous fuels that are easier to distribute and required for many technical processes. The simplest method of conversion is air gasification, producing a lowenergy gas well suited for direct-heat or engine applications but unsuitable for pipeline use. Oxygen gasification produces a medium-energy gas composed primarily of co and H2, which can be used in industrial pipelines for operation of turbines for power and heat cogeneration or for chemical synthesis of methanol or ammonia. Steam or hydrogen gasification are also possible but external heat and energy sources are required. Slow pyrolysis produces a medium-energy gas, charcoal, and oil. Gases resulting from fast pyrolysis contain a high concentration of olefins (primarily ethylene), which are quite useful for synthesis of fuels or chemicals. This paper presents some of the most pertinent material from the three-volume SERI report, A Survey of Biomass Gasification.

Introduction

The production of fuel gas by pyrolysis and gasification of coal and biomass dates back to about 1800 and has been in **wide**spread use at various times in Europe and in the United States. Coal was used predominantly in large plants to supply citygas for cooking and lighting, while wood and other biomass forms **were** used for smaller power generation units, transportation, and agricultural process heat.

As the luxury of low-cost fossil oil and gas disappears, **we will** return to gasification as a method of supplying clean fuels and as an intermediate step in making the methanol, ammonia, gasoline, methane, ethylene, and other chemicals and fuels used in our present oil-based civilization.
ization.

A three-volume Survey of Biomass Gasification has recently been completed at the Solar Energy Research Institute. This paper provides a perspective on biomass gasiftcation based on this survey.

Gaoifieation Routes

The chemical changes required for the conversion of solid feedstocks into gaseous fuels are shown in Fig. 1, a ternary C-H-0 composition diagram for fuels and combustion. In this diagram, oxygen is in the lower right corner; combustion of any fuel can be represented by a vector aimed

DISCLAIMER

This book was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an ogency of the United States Government,

Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any

warranty

at this corner. The products of combustion are represented by the vertical line between $CO₂$ and $H₂O$. Combustion is complete and flame temperature is maximum at this line. Incomplete combustion is represented by the region to the left of this line, where combustion products still contain some Hand co.

Above about 1000 C, the only stable fuel gas molecules are CO and H2, therefore, that high-temperature gasification terminates at the line connecting CO and H. However, at lower temperatures methane is thermodynamically stable and ethylene has transient stability, so that the **gas**eous fuel stability region is extended at lower temperatures toward these molecules.

Compositions to the left of both lines are solid or liquid fuels, and the composition fields of representative samples of biomass, coal, peat, and char are indicated in the diagram. (The dark circle B is the representative biomass composition $CH_{1.4}O_{0.6}$.

"Gasification" can be defined as any process that shifts these solid fuels to the gaseous fuel stability region of the diagram. The arrows of Fig. 1 show the
possible chemical changes for biomass gasification; similar arrows would indicate the conversion routes for coals, peat, etc. Note that a much greater change in composition is required to convert coal to gas than to convert biomass to a gaseous composition. In addition, coal is typically only 10-40% volatile, whereas bio-mass is 70-100% volatile, depending on its composition and the means of heating.

Gasification and Pyrolysis Energies

The pyrolysis stages that occur when biomass (or coal) is heated are shown in Fig. 2 along with the temperatures of reaction and the possible mass and heat flow routes. Some or all of these stages occur both at the micro level of each particle and at the macro level of the bulk of the fuel. In designing a gasifier the neces**sary heat and mass flows for each stage** must **be provided.**

Table 1 **shows a set of idealized re**actions **for the pyrolytic reactions of** cellulose **and the amounts of energy re**quired **per units of input. The energy** level **changes are shown graphically** in Fig. 3. Of course, in most **cases** it is not possible to pyrolyze to a single product, but these figures can be used to estimate the heat requirement at each **stage.** Nnt,e that the production of gaaca ia **cndo~**

OISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED/

 a_1 kJ/g = 0.239 kcal/g = 430 Btu/lb = 0.860 MBtu/ton.

b_{The negative of the conventional heat of formation calculated for cellulose from the heat of combustion of starch.} Ccalculated from the data for the idealized pyrolysis oil C₆H₀O (AH_c = -745.9 kcal/mole. AH_c = -149.6 kcal/g). dcalculated for an idealized hydrocarbon with AH_c = -149.6 kcal/mole. Note H₂ consumed.

thermic, while production of char and oil is exothermic. Slow pyrolysis, which produces a high proportion of char, can be exothermic while fast pyrolysis, producing ethylene, CO, and H₂, is endothermic. In
any case, pyrolysis, including heating to 500 C, requires a heat input typically
less than 108 of the heat of combustion of the dry biomass. Wet biomass requires much more processing energy since the water must be driven off before pyrolysis.

Gasification Processes

Slow pyrolysis, indicated in Fig. 1 by the arrow P, is the simplest of the gasification routes, but the biomass energy is distributed among the gas, the oils and tars, and the char. When biomass or coal
are heated to about 500 or 800 C, respectively, they disproportionate to these products. The amount of energy involved in this process is typically only a few percent of the heat of combustion of the
fuel. Where there are markets for gas, fuel. oil, and char, slow pyrolysis is probably
the preferred method of biomass conver-
sion. On the other hand, although the energy requirement is low, transferring heat
through an opaque mass of solids of low conductivity is difficult. It can be accomplished by recirculation of the pyrolysis gases, or by a minimal combustion of some of the gases; by recirculation of hot
solids in a fluidized bed; or by radiant heating of finely divided, suspended solids. The reaction is complete for biomass in the range of 500-600 C; thus, materials problems are minimal, but separation of the volatile tars and oils from the gas is very difficult.

Pyrolytic gasification techniques con-
vert the liquid and solid products of
pyrolysis to supply process heat and in-
crease gas yields, often using steam to change the composition toward a gaseous fuel.

Fast pyrolysis (arrow F) minimizes the initial production of char and liquid from biomass and, with rapid quenching, produces large amounts of olefins, particularly ethylene. Olefins have a particu-
larly high value as a feedstock for plastics, polymer gasolines, or alcohols for
liquid fuels.

Oxygen/air gasification (arrow O) is the simplest means of obtaining gaseous fuels from solids. Heat is supplied by
partial combustion of char to CO, and this gas then provides rapid heat transfer to the remaining feedstock. Because of the high reaction temperatures (typically 1000 C), the resulting gases are rich in CO and H₂ and therefore can be useful for chemical synthesis. If air is used as the source of the oxygen, the nitrogen dilution produces a low-energy gas suitable
for immediate combustion but not for synthesis or pipeline use. Gasification with oxygen produces a medium-energy gas suitable for pipeline distribution, turbine operation, or synthesis of methanol, ammonia, or high-energy gas.

Methods of contact between oxidant and fuel fall into four categories. In an updraft gasifier (Fig. 4), which incorpo-
rates the simplest method, oxygen/air/
steam contacts a bed of char on a grate, producing CO/CO₂ at high temperatures. This hot gas rises through the hot char,
converting the char to CO and H₂. At the next level the hot gases pyrolyze the incoming biomass, and, finally, at the top
of the bed the gases dry the biomass.
This counterflow heat exchange produces low-temperature exit gas, but unfortunately this gas is loaded with tars, oils, and water, which can cause problems in combus-
tion unless the gas is burnt directly.
Ahout 10 manufacturers in the United States are now making updraft gasifiers.

In a downdraft gasifier (Fig. 5), the
incoming gases pyrolyze the biomass and The form char at the tuyerres (nozzles). oily product gas then passes down through the bed of hot char which pyrolyses most of the tars, thus producing a cleaner gas
for operation of engines. Over a million of these gasifiers were used during World
War II for operation of cars, trucks, tractors, and small power plants. Ten
manufacturers in the United States are de-Ten veloping downdraft gasifiers.

Fluidized-bed gasifiers are being developed for biomass (and coal) by several companies. They have potentially higher thruputs and faster pyrolysis rates but may have lower contact times and may provide less complete char removal.

Suspended-flow gasifiers could have the bighest pyrolysis rates and thruputs for small-particle feedstocks such as sawdust. One manufacturer is developing this type.

Steam gasification (arrow S).is widely used with coal. A high proportion of char (typically 70-908) is produced during the pyrolysis step. The cracking 'of **water,** where

> $C + H_2O + CO + H_2$ (1)

is highly endothermic; therefore, steam is generally used in conjunction with oxygen to produce a mildly exothermic reaction. In biomass pyrolysis, much less char is produced (typically 0-30%), so that the use of steam is often not warranted; in fact, the pyrolysis gases may supply all
the steam necessary. Several groups are developing high-pressure steam biomass gasification.

Hydrogen gasification (arrow H) is attractive if a cheap source of hydrogen is available. High pressures and possibly catalysts are required. Hydrogen is more likely to be used for liquefaction, a pro- cess being developed for biomass at a picess being developed for biomass at a pi-
lot plant in Albany, Oregon.

The Science of Gasification

Gasification was used widely in Europe and the United States during World War II. With the advent of low-cost oil and gas
this technology fell into disuse. As a result, the scientific and engineering un-
derstanding of gasification is poor. An exception is the research done in Sweden a'fter World War II. The SERI publication "Generator Gas: The Swedish Experience from 1939-1945" makes available this ex- cellent scientific **work** in English translation (SERI/SP-33-140). The data are limited to downdraft air gasifiers, but an excellent summary of the relevant thermodynamic and kinetic principles is included. Another classic **work** in the field is "Modern Gas Producers" by N. E. Rambush, published in 1923 (available in microfiche
from SERI). This book deals primarily from SERI). This book deals primarily
with updraft coal gasifiers but also discusses the scientific principles involved.

Volume II of the SERI Survey of Biomass Gasification makes available additional scientific knowledge relevant to gasification. Included are chapters summarizing current knowledge of pyrolysis reactions, high-temperature thermodynamics and chemical equilibria of biomass reactions, kinetics of gasification reactions, biomass properties relevant to gasification, and the amount of biomass potentially available.

Present Status of Biomass Gasification

Volume III of the Survey of Biomass Gasification assesses the present status of biomass gasification and offers recom**mendations for future activities. Produc-** ers of **gasification ,equipment and ·Jt&o ef**forts in **progress are listed. The authors** examine the economics of air gasification,
the means used for gas conditioning, and the production of fuels and chemicals from synthesis gas. The institutional role in gasification and specific projects for the future are discussed. This volume will be published in early 1980.

Future of Biomass Gasification

Coal and biomass gasification go hand in hand and each has its place in liquid and gas fuels. Biomass gasification is simpler technically and requires less en- vironmental control, small plants are likely to gasify biomass and large plants will use coal. This technical fit nicely matches the widespread, dilute sources of biomass and the higher energy concentrations of coal deposits.

Air gasifiers for biomass can provide process heat for industries generating biomass waste, such as food and paper processing plants. Air gasification will be
the first gasification method to be comthe first gasification method to be com- mercialized because it is most suitable for retrofitting existing boilers and the costs are quite favorable for new installations.

Oxygen gasifiers may play a prominent role in gasification of municipal **waste** and biomass. A program is in progress at SERI to develop a high-pressure oxygen gasifier for biomass that will produce clean gas with no further scrubbing. It is expected that this gas will find direct use for operation of turbines, for pipeline distribution, and especially for chemical synthesis of methanol and ammon- ia.

Slow pyrolyzers are particularly simple and economic for production of gas, oil, and char, provided that all of these are needed. Pyrolytic gasifiers that recycle needed. Pyrolytic gasifiers that recycle
the energy from the oil and char to make gas are more complicated, but a number of groups are developing them.

Fast pyrolysis is particularly attractive because it minimizes oil and char production and maximizes the production of olefins (ethylene, etc.), which are particularly valuable for production of plastics, gasoline, or alcohol. Two programs at SERI are engaged in optimizing experimental conditions for ethylene production.

Summary

Biomass gasification will have **wide**gas that can be used for process heat in existing gas and oil boilers. Soon it can also be used for production of medium-Btu gas for pipelines, turbine **power** generation, and chemical and synthetic fuel synthesis.

Figure 1. CHEMICAL CHANGES DURING BIOMASS GASIFICATION

Process: Drying Temperature (°C) <120 Primary Pyrolysis **200-600 Secondary** Pyrolysis **300-800** Char **Gasification 800-1100** Char Combustion **800-1500**

 λ

Ftgure 2. HEAT AND MASS FLOWS IN PYROLYSIS AND GASiFICATION PROCESSES

ENERGY CHANGES IN CELLULOSE THERMAL CONVERSION REACTIONS Figure 3

SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF UPDRAFT GASIFIER Figure 4.

Figure 5. SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF DOWNDRAFT GASIFIER

☆U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE:1980 - 677-175/87